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#### Abstract

A simple method is presented by which one may determine if the absorption and the elimination rate constants are equal (in the one-compartment body model) using only plasma drug data. This method suggests the pertinent equation to calculate the relevent pharmacokinetic parameters.
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In a one-compartment open body model with first-order processes, the determination of the absorption and elimination rate constants ( $k_{a}$ and $k_{e}$, respectively) using only plasma drug concentration data is dependent on the magnitude of the differences between $k_{a}$ and $k_{e}$.

In the case where $k_{a} \gg k_{e}, k_{e}$ can be determined from the slope of the terminal phase of the $\log C_{b}$ vs. $t$ plot ( $C_{b}$ is concentration of the drug in the body and $t$ is time) and $k_{a}$ can be determined by the method of residuals (the "feathering" technique). Similarly, when $k_{e} \gg k_{a}$ (the so-called flip-flop case) $k_{a}$ can be determined from the slope of the terminal phase of a $\log C_{b}$ vs. $t$ plot and $k_{e}$ can be determined by the method of residuals (1,2).

However, in the case where $k_{a}$ is equal or close to $k_{e}$, absorption continues throughout the elimination phase, and the terminal slope of the $\log C_{b}$ vs. $t$ plot is not linear and therefore cannot be used to determine a rate constant. Obviously the method of residuals cannot be used.

[^0]In the special case where the absorption rate constant $\left(k_{a}\right)$ is equal to the elimination rate constant $\left(k_{e}\right)$, the general Bateman equation (equation 1) for a one-compartment body model with first-order absorption and elimination is indeterminable (mathematically cannot be determined) and therefore cannot be used to determine the relevant pharmacokinetic parameters (1,2).

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{b}=\frac{F D k_{a}}{V\left(k_{a}-k_{e}\right)}\left(e^{-k_{e} t}-e^{-k_{a} t}\right) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C_{b}$ is the concentration of drug in the body, $D$ is the dose administered, $F$ is the fraction of dose absorbed, and $V$ is the apparent volume of distribution.

When $k_{a}=k_{e}=k$, the drug concentration in the body is characterized by equation $2(1,2)$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{b}=F D k t e^{-k t} / V \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

This communication presents a simple method for determining, from a single linear plot of $C_{b}$ vs. $t$ data following drug administration by any first-order processes e.g., oral, intramuscular, or sustained release administration, whether absorption and elimination rate constants are equal (assuming a one-compartment open body model).

From equation 2, relationships can be derived for the parameters $t_{\text {max }}$ (time of peak drug concentration) and $C_{b \max }$ (peak drug concentration) $(1,2)$.

$$
\begin{gather*}
t_{\max }=1 / k  \tag{3}\\
C_{b \max }=F D / V e \quad e=\text { base of natural logarithms } \tag{4}
\end{gather*}
$$

The product of $t_{\max } \cdot C_{b \max }$ is equal to AUC/e as is shown in equation 5:

$$
\begin{equation*}
t_{\max } \cdot C_{b \max }=F D / k V e=F D / \mathrm{CL} e=\mathrm{AUC} / e \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where CL is total body clearance and AUC is the area under the curve of $C_{b}$ vs. $t$.

From a plot of $C_{b}$ vs. $t, t_{\text {max }}$ and $C_{b \max }$ can be determined by inspection and AUC can be calculated by the trapezoidal rule.

Thus, whenever $t_{\max } \cdot C_{b \max }$ can be shown to be equal to AUC/e, the rate constants $k_{a}$ and $k_{e}$ must be equal and the Bateman equation (equation 1) cannot be used; in this case, $k$ can be calculated using equation 6 , which is derived from equation 3 :

$$
\begin{equation*}
k=k_{a}=k_{e}=1 / t_{\max } \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

This method is demonstrated in Fig. 1, where a hypothetical curve was


Fig. 1. Hypothetical curve generated by an analog computer.
generated by an analog computer (PACE model TR-9, Electronics Associated, Inc., Long Branch, New Jersey) with initial conditions set at $k_{a}=$ $k_{e}=0.5 \mathrm{~min}^{-1}$.

By inspection, $t_{\max }=2.1 \mathrm{~min}, C_{b \max }=3.7 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$, and AUC, calculated by the trapezoidal rule, was found to be $20.6 \mu \mathrm{~g}-\mathrm{min} / \mathrm{ml}$.

The product $C_{b \text { max }} \cdot t_{\text {max }}$ equals $(2.1 \min )(3.7 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml})=7.77 \mu \mathrm{~g}-$ $\mathrm{min} / \mathrm{ml}$. The quotient AUC/e equals $20.6 \mu \mathrm{~g}-\mathrm{min} / \mathrm{ml} / 2.718=7.58 \mu \mathrm{~g}-$ $\mathrm{min} / \mathrm{ml}$. The fact that these two values, as predicted by equation 5 , are equal, demonstrates that $k_{a}$ must be equal to $k_{e}$.

In summary, a simple scheme is suggested to determine the equivalence of $k_{a}$ to $k_{e}$ using only plasma drug data, which in turn determines which equation (equation 1 or equation 2) must be used to calculate the relevant pharmacokinetic parameters.

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

I would like to thank Drs. E. R. Garrett and G. L. Henderson for their assistance in the preparation of this communication.

## REFERENCES

1. F. H. Dost. Grundlagen der Pharmakokinetik, 2. Gufl., G. Thieme, Stuttgart 1968, pp. 38-47.
2. M. Gibaldi and D. Perrier. Pharmacokinetics, Dekker, New York, 1975, pp. 33-43.

[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Pharmacy Department, College of Pharmacy, University of Florida, Gainesville, Florida 32610.
    ${ }^{2}$ Present address: Department of Pharmacy, College of Pharmacy, University of Kentucky, Lexington, Kentucky 40506.

