Erratum: Hyperfine structure of the Bal $X^2\Sigma^+$ and $C^2\Pi$ states [J. Chem. Phys. 85, 3735 (1986)]

Cite as: J. Chem. Phys. **95**, 9433 (1991); https://doi.org/10.1063/1.461846 Published Online: 31 August 1998

C. A. Leach, W. E. Ernst, J. Kändler, C. Noda, J. S. McKillop, and R. N. Zare





PHYSICS TODAY whitepapers

ADVANCED LIGHT CURE ADHESIVES

READ NOW

Take a closer look at what these environmentally friendly adhesive systems can do



© 1991 American Institute of Physics.

ERRATA

Erratum: Hyperfine structure of the Bal X $^{2}\Sigma^{+}$ and C $^{2}\Pi$ states [J. Chem. Phys. 85, 3735 (1986)]

C. A. Leach^{a)}

Department of Chemistry, Stanford University, Stanford, California 94305

W. E. Ernst^{b)} and J. Kändler^{c)} Institut für Molekülphysik, Freie Universität Berlin, Arnimallee 14, D-1000 Berlin 33, Germany

C. Noda,^{d)} J. S. McKillop,^{e)} and R. N. Zare

Department of Chemistry, Stanford University, Stanford, California 94305

In simulating the hyperfine effects of the high-resolution BaI $C^{2}\Pi - X^{2}\Sigma^{+}$ spectra the paper by Ernst et al.¹ was reexamined. A new computer program was written for this simulation and used to fit the optical data in Table II.¹ The hyperfine interactions in the $X^{2}\Sigma^{+}$ state were calculated by diagonalizing a (2×2) matrix using the values of the hyperfine parameters in Table III.¹ These parameters, which had been determined from the optical-microwave double resonance experiment, were kept fixed during the fit. For the $C^{2}\Pi$ state the hyperfine interactions are as given in Eq. (12).¹ The $C^{2}\Pi$ hyperfine parameters were optimized by a nonlinear least squares fit of the simulated hyperfine effects in the $C^2\Pi - X^2\Sigma^+$ spectra to the experimental data in Table II.¹ The results are shown in Table I. Comparison with earlier results¹ shows that the value of d'changes by a factor of 2 and the value of b' + c' is also different, although its uncertainty is large. These differences must be caused by an error in the original analysis. The frequencies calculated using the new hyperfine parameters (from Table I) reproduce the observed frequencies to the same accuracy as those given in Table II.¹ The overall standard deviation of the new fit is 7.1 MHz.

The hyperfine parameters were used by Ernst *et al.*¹ to discuss the bonding nature of BaI in the $C^{2}\Pi$ state. Although the values of b' + c' and d' in Table I differ by up to a factor of 2 from the results published in Ref. 1, the conclusions remain the same. With the correctly determined values of a' and d', Eq. $(21)^{1}$ yields c' = 1173 MHz instead of 889 MHz¹ and the same conclusion is valid; the spin and orbital angular momenta responsible for the hyperfine structure of the BaI $C^{2}\Pi$ state involve different electrons. Similarly, the assumption of a positive electron spin density at the iodine nucleus leads to the condition that c' < -384 MHz instead of -645 MHz,¹ again an

TABLE I. Hyperfine parameters for the $C^2\Pi(v=0)$ states of BaI. The quantities in parentheses represent one standard deviation.

Parameter	Value (MHz)
a'	259(30)
b' + c'	- 256(59)
d'	-132(2)
eQq'	- 217(7)

unreasonable value. Therefore, the conclusion remains that the electron spin density at the iodine nucleus must be negative and the bonding picture of a π -type Ba⁺ orbital polarized toward I⁻ is confirmed. If Eq. (26)¹ is applied to derive the Fermi contact term, $b'_{\rm F}$, the result is now $b'_{\rm F} = -131.3$ MHz instead of -307 MHz, again in agreement with the qualitative picture discussed.

It is also worth pointing out several misprints in the original paper. In the hyperfine Hamiltonians in Eqs. $(4)^1$ and $(11)^1$ the electric quadrupole interaction terms should read

 $eQq[3I_z^2 - I(I+1)]/[4I(2I-1)].$

Equation (15),¹ which is a term added to the energy levels of the ${}^{2}\Pi_{1/2}$ state to account for the effect of hyperfine structure on the Λ doublet, should read

$$\pm dC(F, I, J)(J + 1/2)/[4J(J + 1)],$$

where C(F, I, J) = F(F+1) - I(I+1) - J(J+1), and the plus sign refers to *e*-parity states and the minus sign refers to *f*-parity states of the Λ doublet. Equation (17)¹ should read

$$b' = \frac{\mu_0 \mu_I}{I} \left(\frac{16\pi}{3} |\Psi(0)|^2 - \left[\frac{3\cos^2 \theta - 1}{r^3} \right]_{av} \right)$$

In addition, the F'' quantum numbers in Fig. 6¹ are mislabeled and should be in the reverse order, i.e., from 52 to 47, left to right.

C.A.L. thanks the SERC for a NATO postdoctoral fellowship. This work was supported by the National Science Foundation under Grant No. NSF CHE 90-07939.

^{a)}Present address: Department of Chemistry, University of Southampton, Southampton, Hampshire, SO9 5NH, U.K.

^{b)}Present address: Department of Physics, 104 Davey Laboratory, College of Science, The Pennsylvania State University, University Park, PA 16802.

^{c)}Present address: VDI Technologiezentrum, Postfach 1139, D-4000 Düsseldorf, Germany.

^{d)}Present address: Department of Chemistry, University of New Hampshire, Durham, NH 03824.

^{e)}Present address: Jamar Medical Systems, 3956 Sorrento Valley Boulevard, San Diego, CA 92121.

¹W. E. Ernst, J. Kändler, C. Noda, J. S. McKillop, and R. N. Zare, J. Chem. Phys. **85**, 3735 (1986).