Electronic and Geometrical Structure of the Sc[BO]⁺ Cation. An Ab Initio Investigation
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The Sc[BO]⁺ molecular cation has been investigated theoretically by multireference CI methods. We have examined the potential energy surfaces of all states that correlate to the ground-state Sc⁺(3D) + BO(X₂Σ⁺) fragments. Three kinds of minima were discovered corresponding to the linear Sc−BO⁺ and BOSc⁺ and to the bent BOSc⁺ configurations, with the bent structure being the global minimum for all states. Full potential energy curves are reported for all symmetries examined, i.e., 2A′(3), 2A″(2) for the bent and 2Δ, 2Π, 2Σ⁺, 4Δ, 4Π, and 2Σ for the linear geometries. The ground state is of 2A″ symmetry with a BO−Sc binding energy \( D_e = 63.9 \text{ kcal/mol} \) at an equilibrium geometry with B−OSc and BO−Sc bond lengths of 1.260 and 2.046 Å, respectively, and a BOSc angle of 90.7°.

1. Introduction

The chemistry of boron−oxygen compounds is characterized by an extraordinary complexity and diversity. The simplest of them is BO, the exclusive product of boron atoms with \( \text{O}_2 \) in the gas phase, a process that is highly exothermic making boron a potential high-energy fuel.

The principal oxide of boron is boric oxide \( (\text{B}_2\text{O}_3) \), which melts at 450 °C; above 450 °C polar BO groups are formed while fused \( \text{B}_2\text{O}_3 \) readily dissolves many metal oxides to give characteristically colored borate glasses. The BO species appears to be an important intermediate in the oxidation process of boron and polymerizes to form a variety of boron−oxygen systems.

The ground state, \( X₂Σ⁺(=1σ^22σ^23σ^24σ^25σ^2\pi_1^2\pi_2^2) \), of BO⁺ can be characterized as a \( σ \) radical faithfully represented by the following valence bond Lewis (vBL) icon:

\[
\begin{array}{c}
\text{B}(\text{P}; M=0) \quad \text{O}(\text{P}; M=0) \quad X₂Σ⁺
\end{array}
\]

suggesting the formation of a triple bond between the B and O atoms. Note that the in situ B atom finds itself in the excited \( ^2\text{P}(M=0) \) state, 3.57 eV above its ground \( ^2\text{P} \) state. The experimental binding energy \( (D_o) \) of BO is \( D_o = D_h + \omega_{\text{BO}}/2 = 8.40 \text{ eV} \) or with an intrinsic bond strength \( (\omega_{\text{BO}}) \) of 16.3 kcal/mol even in the similar bond length \( \text{B}=\text{O}X₆(1XΣ⁺) \) system with \( D_e = 11.36 \text{ kcal/mol} \).

Now the first excited state of BO is of \( A′Π \) symmetry \( (=1σ^22σ^23σ^24σ^25σ^2\pi^2) \) 2.96 eV above the \( X₂Σ⁺ \) ground state and can be characterized as \( π \) radical with the single electron localized on the oxygen atom (icon 2). The icons 1 and 2 of the \( X₂Σ⁺ \) and \( A′Π \) BO states imply that the boron oxide (BO) can interact easily with a multitude of radicals R=H, F, Cl, Br, CH₃, ... to produce linear and bent oxoborons of the type \( R−\text{BO} \) or \( \text{BO}−R \), and indeed, this is the case. Recently, Schaefer et al. examined the HBO and BOH systems at the CCSD(T)/TZ2P level of theory while Boldyrev and Simons investigated the cations HBO⁺ and BOH⁺ at the QCISD(T)/6-311++G(df, 2pd) level. It was found that while HBO is more stable than the two isomers, the BOH⁺ isomer is more stable than HBO⁺. Ab initio calculations of MP4(DZP//MP2/DZP) type have been performed in the series R[BO], R=H, F, Cl, CH₃ indicating that the R−BO species is more stable than BO−R bent isomers. The lithiated species LiBO and BOLi have been examined theoretically by Nemukhin et al. and reexamined very recently together with X[BO], X=Na and K by Fuentealba using DFT and G2 methods.

The present paper examines by ab initio techniques the transition metal molecular cation Sc[BO]⁺ (Sc−BO⁺ or BO−Sc⁺). To the best of our knowledge, this is the first time that the interaction of the BO molecule with a transition metal is investigated theoretically. In particular, we study the potential energy surfaces of the species Sc−BO⁺ and BO−Sc⁺ correlating to the ground-state fragments Sc⁺(4s13d⁴);D) and BO(X₂Σ⁺) using multireference techniques. Because of the very unusual properties of boron oxides, we believe that the study of the Sc[BO]⁺ species can be used as a model system to facilitate the investigation of more complex boron oxide–metal systems by both experimentalists and theoreticians, and materials scientists in general.

2. Computational Approach

For the B and O atoms we employed the correlation consistent triple-\( z \) quality basis set, cc-pVTZ, of Dunning. While for the metal atom the ANO basis of Bauschlicher was used but with the functions of \( g \) symmetry removed. Our generalized contracted function space, \( \{4s3p2d1f\}_{B,\text{O}}/\{7s6p4d3f\}_{\text{Sc}} \), consists of 126 spherical Gaussians (5d and 7f functions).
In a complete valence CASSCF (complete active space SCF) calculation, the 11 valence (active) electrons ([[2s22p1]3s, [2s2-2p2]3p, [4s3d1]5s)) should be allowed to occupy a 14 active orbital molecular space, considering that the Sc⁺ valence space consists of 6 orbitals (1s + 5d). Such an approach produces under 3A' symmetry 1 289 178 configuration functions (CF), rendering even the CASSCF computations impractical. Instead, a CASSCF wave function was constructed by distributing three electrons (two valence electrons on Sc⁺ plus the single BO electron) among seven orbitals. This seven-orbital space ensures correct axial symmetry for the linear geometries ([A] angular momentum) and correct asymptotic behavior of the Sc⁺ + BO fragments. To treat equivalently linear and bent geometries, all calculations were done under C₃ symmetry restrictions. The CASSCF space was state-averaged with equal weights over five states, namely, 3A' + 2A'' corresponding to Δ', Π', and Σ⁺ symmetries of the linear geometries and consisting of 60A' + 52A'' CFs for the doublets and 15A' + 20A'' CFs for the quartets.

Valence “dynamical” correlation was obtained by single and double excitations of the 11 active electrons out of the previously described reference CAS space (CASSCF+1+2=MRCI). To keep our MRCI expansions manageable, the internally contracted MRCI (icMRCI) approach was followed,12 thus reducing the uncontracted MRCI spaces by about a factor of 10. For instance, the 9 196 852 CFs MRCI space of the 3(A') state of Sc−BO is reduced to 713 088 CFs by internal contraction, a severe reduction of the functional space. However, our experience is, at least with the series of diatomics ScB, TIB, VB, and CrB⁺⁺, that the icMRCI approach, on the method, does not cause absolute energy losses larger than 2 mhartree; therefore, we believe that the quality of our MRCI wave functions remains practically unaffected because of the internal contraction approach. Geometry optimizations were carried out at the MRCI level using either numerical gradients or energy grids for certain partial optimizations. Finally, all MRCI calculations are size extensive within 1 mhartree with respect to the CISD (SCF +1+2) fragments.

For all computations the MOLPRO9614 package was employed.

### 3. Fragments Sc⁺ and BO

The SCF and CISD (SCF+1+2) energies of the ground state 3D(4s+3d) of Sc⁺ are −759.540 45 and −759.545 13 hartree, respectively. The corresponding numerical Hartree−Fock value is −759.539 144 hartree,15 1.3 mhartree higher than our value because of C₂e symmetry restrictions imposed on the SCF calculation. At the CISD level energy splittings AE(3F−1D) = 0.703 and AE(1D−3D) = 0.272 eV are obtained, compared to the experimental values of 0.596 and 0.302 eV, respectively.

Table 1 lists calculated properties of the ground XΣ⁺ state and A²Π state of BO at the CISD level along with available experimental results. While the agreement between experimental and theoretical bond distances for both states can be considered as good, the same cannot be said for the Dₑ value of the XΣ⁺ state. The 22.5(15) kcal/mol difference between the experimental and CISD (CISD+Q) values is due to the medium size basis set used but primarily to the size nonextensivity error introduced by the CISD technique. Observe the polarity flip between the XΣ⁺ and A²Π states, as is evidenced by the algebraic values of the dipole moment of these two states. Finally, the atomic CISD Mulliken populations of the XΣ⁺ and A²Π states,

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Method</th>
<th>E</th>
<th>Rₑ</th>
<th>dₑ</th>
<th>Tₑ</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SCF</td>
<td>-799.5598</td>
<td>1.180</td>
<td>138.2</td>
<td>-2.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CISD</td>
<td>-799.83792</td>
<td>1.200</td>
<td>171.2</td>
<td>-2.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CISD+Q²</td>
<td>-799.8622</td>
<td>1.209</td>
<td>178.9</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>expl²</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.2045</td>
<td>192.8</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TABLE 1: SCF and CISD Energies E (hartree), Bond Distances Rₑ (Å), Dissociation Energies Dₑ (kcal/mol), Dipole Moments dₑ (D), and Energy Separation Tₑ (eV) of the XΣ⁺ and A²Π States of BO

for B and O, respectively, confirm our previously given vbl icons 1 and 2. Note that in the XΣ⁺ state, ~1.1 e⁻ are transferred from B to O via the ñ frame with a synchronous migration of about 0.8 e⁻ from O to B via the o frame, resulting in a net transfer of about 0.25 e⁻ from B to O. In the A²Π state the 2s bonding participation for both atoms can be practically ignored, while the symmetry-carrying electron is essentially localized on a 2pₓ(O) orbital with the O atom barely positively charged.

Inasmuch as our purpose is not an accurate description of the BO molecule but its bonding interaction with Sc⁺, the CISD description of BO to which our MRCI ScBO⁺ wave function correlates is clearly adequate.

### 4. Results and Discussion

#### 4.1. Doubles.

The approach of Sc⁺(3D) to either side of the BO(XΣ⁺) molecule can give rise to doublet and quartet potential energy surfaces. Figure 1 shows the doublet minimum energy profiles of the Sc⁺(3D)−BO(XΣ⁺) interaction, parametrized with respect to the angle θ = ZB−OB−Sc (see inset, Figure 1). These profiles are constructed by optimizing the B−O and B−Sc or O−Sc distances using energy grids for a series of fixed θ values; linear geometries of Sc−BO⁺ and BO−Sc⁺ correspond to θ = 0°, and θ = 180.0°, respectively. The lifting of the 3D Sc⁺ degeneracy due to axial BO interaction gives rise to the XΣ⁺, 2Π¹, and A²Σ⁺ Sc[BO]⁺ states. By bending the molecule (θ = 0° or 180.0°), the Π¹ and A²Σ⁺ degeneracies are further lifted, resulting in a total of five states 2A³(3) and 2A³(2) (Figure 1).

As can be seen from the curves shown in Figure 1, three different minima were found for each state examined: two (local) corresponding to the linear isomers Sc−BO⁺ or BO−Sc⁺ (2Δ, 2Π, 2Σ⁺), and one global minimum that corresponds to the bent structure (2Δ, 2A''') for all states, with θ between 80 and 90°. To confirm the existence of these minima, we performed complete geometry optimizations using numerical gradients, which indeed gave the same results as the point-by-point
point optimizations. Nevertheless, the BO–Sc$^+$ linear structures can only technically be characterized as minima, being separated from their global minima by energy barriers of about 1 mhartree (Figure 1).

4.1.a. Sc–BO$^+$ Linear Geometry. The approach of the Sc$^+$(3D, 3d$^1$4s$^1$) cation from the B side of the BO molecule is expected to interact attractively by forming a σ-type bond, giving rise to three molecular states of $2\Sigma^+$, $2\Pi$, or $2\Delta$ symmetry, depending on the symmetry-carrying Sc$^+$ electron σ, π, or δ, respectively. This is illustrated by the following:

$$\text{(3d, σ π or δ)} + \text{BO}$$(X$^2\Sigma^+$)  \rightarrow \text{ScBO}(2\Sigma^+, 2\Pi, or 2\Delta)$

The above picture is confirmed by our calculations. Table 2 presents total energies, geometries, Sc–BO$^+$ dissociation energies, and Mulliken charges at the MRCI level of theory, and Figure 2 shows potential energy curves (PEC) of the $2\Delta$, $2\Pi$, and $2\Sigma^+$ states. We see that the ground state of the linear Sc–BO$^+$ geometry is of $2\Delta$ symmetry with the $2\Pi$ and $2\Sigma^+$ states lying 3.50 and 8.80 kcal/mol above the $2\Delta$ state. The ordering of the states is more or less expected, considering the diminishment of repulsive interaction of the σ, π, or δ observer Sc$^+$ electron with the BO σ or π electron distribution. Upon binding with Sc$^+$, the B–O bond is practically not perturbed at all (cf. Tables 1 and 2) while the symmetry-carrying electron is completely localized in the corresponding orbital of the Sc$^+$ ion with no participation in the chemical bonding. Also, about 0.1 e$^-$ is transferred from Sc$^+$ to the BO system. Although the $2\Delta$, $2\Pi$, and $2\Sigma^+$ PECs are exactly size extensive with respect to Sc$^+$(3D)+BO($^2\Sigma^+$) CISD fragments, this is not obvious from Figure 2; the long-range repulsive part of the PECs with a 2.3 kcal/mol maximum at about 9.0 bohr mirrors the electrostatic repulsion between Sc$^+$ and the positive end of the incoming B–O dipole.

Finally, Sc$^+–$BO binding energies are $D_e$ = 53.4, 49.9, and 44.6 kcal/mol for $2\Delta$, $2\Pi$, and $2\Sigma^+$ states, respectively, at the MRCI level of theory. A uniform increase of $D_e$'s by about 4 kcal/mol is obtained when the multireference Davidson's correction is taken into account.

4.1.b. Sc[BO]$^+$ Bent Geometry. By bending the (linear) Sc–BO$^+$ species, five states of symmetries $2\Lambda^+(3)$ and $2\Lambda^-(2)$ are obtained (Figure 1). For all states we have to surmount an energy barrier ranging from 4 to 6 kcal/mol, which is due to the breaking of the σ Sc–B bond with the synchronous formation of a σ Sc–O bond (but see below), resulting in a ground state of X$^2\Lambda^+$ symmetry adiabatically correlating to the $2\Delta$ state. From Figure 1 we observe that for all potential energy surfaces, global

![Figure 1](image1.png)

**Figure 1.** Energy profiles with respect to the angle $\theta = \angle BO$Sc of the Sc[BO]$^+$ at the MRCI level of theory.

![Figure 2](image2.png)

**Figure 2.** MRCI potential energy curves of the linear Sc–BO$^+$ $2\Delta$, $2\Pi$, and $2\Sigma^+$ states as a function of the Sc–B distance. The dotted line corresponds to the asymptotic energy of the ground-state fragments.

---

**TABLE 2: Total Energies $E$ (hartree), Bond Distances $R$ (Å), Angles $\theta$ (deg), Dissociation Energies $D_e$ and $D_{e(+Q)}$ (kcal/mol), and Mulliken Charges $q$ of the Linear Sc$^+–$BO$^+$ and BO–Sc$^+$ and Bent Sc[BO]$^+$ Isomers at the MRCI Level of Theory**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>state</th>
<th>$-E$</th>
<th>$R_{B-O}$</th>
<th>$R_{B-Sc}$</th>
<th>$R_{O-Sc}$</th>
<th>$q$</th>
<th>$D_e$</th>
<th>$D_{e(+Q)}$</th>
<th>$q_B$</th>
<th>$q_O$</th>
<th>$q_{Sc}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sc–BO$^+$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$2\Delta$</td>
<td>859.468 14</td>
<td>1.202</td>
<td>2.402</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>53.4</td>
<td>57.3</td>
<td>-0.04</td>
<td>-0.08</td>
<td>+1.12</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$2\Pi$</td>
<td>859.462 56</td>
<td>1.202</td>
<td>2.378</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>49.9</td>
<td>54.0</td>
<td>-0.02</td>
<td>-0.09</td>
<td>+1.11</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$2\Sigma^+$</td>
<td>859.454 12</td>
<td>1.200</td>
<td>2.385</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>44.6</td>
<td>49.4</td>
<td>-0.03</td>
<td>-0.08</td>
<td>+1.11</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sc[BO]$^+$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$X^2\Lambda^+$</td>
<td>859.484 85</td>
<td>1.260</td>
<td>2.416</td>
<td>2.046</td>
<td>90.7</td>
<td>63.9</td>
<td>65.9</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>-0.24</td>
<td>+1.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$1^2\Lambda^+$</td>
<td>859.483 60</td>
<td>1.259</td>
<td>2.440</td>
<td>2.044</td>
<td>92.1</td>
<td>63.1</td>
<td>65.1</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>-0.25</td>
<td>+1.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$2^2\Lambda^-$</td>
<td>859.473 10</td>
<td>1.255</td>
<td>2.350</td>
<td>2.105</td>
<td>84.7</td>
<td>56.5</td>
<td>59.2</td>
<td>+0.01</td>
<td>-0.24</td>
<td>+1.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$2^2\Lambda^+$</td>
<td>859.472 07</td>
<td>1.246</td>
<td>2.380</td>
<td>2.151</td>
<td>84.5</td>
<td>55.9</td>
<td>58.1</td>
<td>+0.01</td>
<td>-0.23</td>
<td>+1.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$3^2\Lambda^+$</td>
<td>859.461 04</td>
<td>1.256</td>
<td>2.438</td>
<td>2.093</td>
<td>89.8</td>
<td>48.9</td>
<td>52.1</td>
<td>-0.01</td>
<td>-0.24</td>
<td>+1.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BO–Sc$^+$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$2\Delta$</td>
<td>859.480 31</td>
<td>1.302</td>
<td>1.919</td>
<td>180.0</td>
<td>61.0</td>
<td>62.0</td>
<td>-0.10</td>
<td>-0.40</td>
<td>+1.50</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$2\Pi$</td>
<td>859.461 45</td>
<td>1.294</td>
<td>1.955</td>
<td>180.0</td>
<td>49.2</td>
<td>50.6</td>
<td>-0.12</td>
<td>-0.39</td>
<td>+1.51</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$2\Sigma^+$</td>
<td>859.457 45</td>
<td>1.313</td>
<td>1.893</td>
<td>180.0</td>
<td>46.7</td>
<td>49.3</td>
<td>-0.07</td>
<td>-0.39</td>
<td>+1.46</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$4\Delta$</td>
<td>859.434 10</td>
<td>1.213</td>
<td>2.228</td>
<td>180.0</td>
<td>32.0</td>
<td>31.3</td>
<td>+0.37</td>
<td>-0.24</td>
<td>+0.87</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$4\Pi$</td>
<td>859.428 07</td>
<td>1.212</td>
<td>2.257</td>
<td>180.0</td>
<td>28.3</td>
<td>27.6</td>
<td>+0.36</td>
<td>-0.23</td>
<td>+0.87</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$4\Sigma^+$</td>
<td>859.413 72</td>
<td>1.211</td>
<td>2.453</td>
<td>180.0</td>
<td>19.3</td>
<td>18.6</td>
<td>+0.35</td>
<td>-0.24</td>
<td>+0.89</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*With Davidson’s multireference correction.*
The populations of the rest of the states are practically identical and that the in situ BO moiety in the, for instance, X
MRCI potential energy curves of the BOSc

Figure 3.

\[
\text{Figure 3. MRCI potential energy curves of the BOSc}^+\text{ states (X}^2\text{A}^\nu, 1^2\text{A}^\nu, 2^2\text{A}^\nu, 2^2\text{A}^\nu, 3^2\text{A}^\nu) as a function of the O–Sc separation. For all states the B–O distance and the BOSc angle were kept at their equilibrium values.}
\]

minima are of either \(^2\text{A}^\nu\) or \(^2\text{A}^\nu\) symmetry at an angle of about 90° (Table 2).

The equilibrium Mulliken MRCI atomic populations of the \(X^2\text{A}^\nu\) ground state are

\[
\begin{align*}
4s & 0.24\text{d}_{x^2} 0.06\text{d}_{x^2−y^2} 0.10\text{d}_{y^2} 0.07\text{d}_{z^2} 0.08\text{d}_{z^2} 3\text{p}^0.13/\text{Sc} \\
2s & 1.44\text{p}_x 0.39\text{p}_y 0.29\text{p}_z 0.80\text{d}^0.16/B \\
2s & 1.70\text{p}_x 1.51\text{p}_y 1.55\text{p}_z 1.44\text{d}^0.05/O
\end{align*}
\]

The populations of the rest of the states are practically identical with the above distributions, the only exception being the observer, symmetry-carrying electron, which is distributed to the Sc\(^+\) atomic orbitals as \(3\text{d}_{x^2−y^2} 0.69\text{d}_{x^2−y^2} 0.44, 3\text{d}_{y^2} 0.97, 3\text{d}_{z^2} 0.97\), and \(3\text{d}_{x^2−y^2} 0.40\text{d}_{x^2−y^2} 0.60\) for \(1^2\text{A}^\nu, 2^2\text{A}^\nu, 2^2\text{A}^\nu,\) and \(3^2\text{A}^\nu\), respectively. In all states 0.20–0.25 e\(^−\) are transferred from the metal atom to the –BO moiety. From Table 2 we can see that although formally the ground state is of \(^2\text{A}^\nu\) symmetry, the \(X^2\text{A}^\nu\) and \(1^2\text{A}^\nu\) can be considered as degenerate within the accuracy of our calculations, their energy difference being 0.78 kcal/mol. The same can be said for the next pair of states (\(2^2\text{A}^\nu, 2^2\text{A}^\nu\)), which differs by only 0.65 kcal/mol. Figure 3 shows PECs for all five states at the MRCI level, constructed by keeping the B–O distance and the BOSc angle at the corresponding equilibrium values and varying the O–Sc distance. All PECs correlate to Sc\(^+(3\text{D})\) + BO\((X^2\Sigma^+)\), and by allowance for the relaxation of the BO system, our MRCI calculations are size extensive. Binding energies range from 48.9 (32 Å

Figure 4.

\[
\text{Figure 4. MRCI potential energy curves of the linear BO–Sc}^+\text{ doublet and quartet states (}^2\text{A}, ^2\text{I}, ^2\Sigma, ^2\Delta, ^2\Pi, ^2\Sigma^+)\text{ as a function of the O–Sc distance. The B–O distance is optimized at every calculated point.}
\]

suggesting a three center–two electron bond between Sc\(^+\) and BO. The above picture can be corroborated by observing that (a) in all five states the BOSc angle is very close to 90° (Table 2), (b) the B–O bond length ranges from 1.25 to 1.26 Å depending on the state, a value between the bond distance of the \(X^2\Sigma^+\) and \(^2\Sigma^+\) states of the free BO molecule (Table 1), (c) the 2s atomic population on B and for all five bent states is 1.45 e\(^−\), in contrast to 1.05 (\(X^2\Sigma^+\)) and 1.82 (\(^2\Pi\)) e\(^−\) for free BO (vide supra).

4.1.c. \(\text{Sc–OB}^+\) Linear Geometry. The approach of the \(\text{Sc}^+(3\text{D})\) to the oxygen site of the BO system in a linear fashion can give rise to doublets and quartets of \(\Delta, \Pi,\) and \(\Sigma^+\) symmetries in complete analogy with the \(\text{Sc}^+\)–BO linear attack (see section 4.1.a). Location of the \(^2\Delta, ^2\Pi,\) and \(^2\Sigma^+\) states with respect to the previously calculated linear and bent states is shown in Figure 1. While the ScBO\(^+\) \(^2\Delta \leftrightarrow ^2\Pi\) and \(^2\Sigma^+ \leftrightarrow ^2\Pi\) splittings are almost equal, the corresponding energy separations of the \(\text{Sc}–\text{BO}^+\) isomer differ significantly (Figure 1, Table 2), with the \(^2\Delta\) Sc–OB\(^+\) state being the lowest among all the linear states. From Table 2 we observe that the bond lengths increase by about 0.05 Å going from the linear Sc–BO\(^+\) to the bent Sc[BO]\(^+\) isomer and by an equal amount from the bent to the linear Sc–OB\(^+\) structure. Binding energies of the \(^2\Delta, ^2\Pi,\) and \(^2\Sigma^+\) Sc–OB\(^+\) states are 61.0, 49.2, and 46.7 kcal/mol, respectively, with respect to the ground-state fragments. Their potential energy curves at the MRCI level, obtained by optimizing the B–O distance for every calculated BO–Sc\(^+\) point, are shown in Figure 4. It is interesting to report that as the \(\text{Sc}^+(3\text{D})\) approaches the BO\((X^2\Sigma^+)\) system from infinity, the B–O distance remains practically the same and equal to 1.20 Å, up to about 4.7 b. At this point the B–O bond length increases abruptly to 1.28 Å with a concomitant change of the PECs’ slope (Figure 4). At equilibrium the final B–O distance is about 1.30 Å for all states (Table 2). This is an indication of the involvement of higher states of \(\Sigma^+, ^2\Pi,\) and \(^2\Delta\) symmetry, possibly stemming from a second \(^2\Sigma^+\) state of BO\((B^2\Sigma^+)\) lying 5.35 eV above the \(X^2\Sigma^+\) and with a bond length of 1.305 Å. It is also of interest to note that in every state, about 0.5 e\(^−\) are transferred from Sc\(^+\) to the BO moiety despite the fact that the metal carries already a charge of +1. Although such a large electron transfer from Sc\(^+\) to BO seems rather strange, it can be understood if we think of the very large Coulombic stabilization that is obtained as being due to such a transfer, \((+1.5)(−0.5)/4.0\) au, or about −5 eV.
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The Sc\([\text{BO}]^+\) system has been investigated theoretically by CASSCF and MRCI methods using cc-pVTZ (B, O) and ANO (Sc) basis sets. Our main findings can be summarized as follows.

a. On the doublet potential surfaces, linear states Sc\(-\text{BO}^+\) and BO\(-\text{Se}^+\) of \(\Sigma^+\), \(\Sigma^\pi\), and \(\Sigma^\delta\) symmetries are local minima, with the lowest BO\(-\text{Se}^+\) of \(\Sigma^\delta\) symmetry. The global minimum for all surfaces is of bent configuration with a ground state of \(X^2\Delta^+\) symmetry, a binding energy \(D_e = 63.9\) kcal/mol with respect to Sc\(^{+}(\Sigma^+)+\text{BO}(\Sigma^\pi^\dag)\) fragments, and a BOSc angle of 90.7°. A similarity of the Sc\([\text{BO}]^+\) to the R[BO] system, where R = Li, Na, K, is mentioned here. At the B3LYP and G2MP2 levels three minima were detected corresponding to the linear R\(-\text{BO}, \text{BO}\,-\text{R}, and the bent BOR configurations. The BOR angle ranges from 90° to 100° depending on the alkalimetal.

b. For all geometries and doublet states we have electron transfer from Sc\(^{+}\) to BO, ranging from \(-0.1\) e\(^{-}\) (Sc\(-\text{BO}^+\)) to \(-0.5\) e\(^{-}\) (BO\(-\text{Se}^+\)).

c. The in situ BO bond length compared to the free BO\(-\Sigma^\pi^\dag\) remains the same in the Sc\([\text{BO}]^+\) and \(\Sigma^\pi^\dag\), while it is increased by about 0.05 and 0.1 Å in the bent and BO\(-\text{Se}^+\) linear geometries, respectively.

d. It seems that in the bent and BO\(-\text{Se}^+\) linear geometries, the A\(\Pi\) and B\(2\Sigma^\pi^\dag\) states of BO are entailed in the bonding process.

e. Quartet minima were found only for the linear BO\(-\text{Se}^+\) geometry of \(\Delta, \Pi,\) and \(\Sigma^\pi\) spatial symmetries with binding energies of about half of the doublets. The binding mechanism of the quartets is mainly of electrostatic nature.
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c. The in situ BO bond length compared to the free BO\(-\Sigma^\pi^\dag\) remains the same in the Sc\([\text{BO}]^+\) and \(\Sigma^\pi^\dag\), while it is increased by about 0.05 and 0.1 Å in the bent and BO\(-\text{Se}^+\) linear geometries, respectively.

d. It seems that in the bent and BO\(-\text{Se}^+\) linear geometries, the A\(\Pi\) and B\(2\Sigma^\pi^\dag\) states of BO are entailed in the bonding process.

e. Quartet minima were found only for the linear BO\(-\text{Se}^+\) geometry of \(\Delta, \Pi,\) and \(\Sigma^\pi\) spatial symmetries with binding energies of about half of the doublets. The binding mechanism of the quartets is mainly of electrostatic nature.

References and Notes


