

# Theoretical Investigation of the Ground $X^3\Sigma^-$ State of Nitrogen Bromide

Apostolos Kalemios and Aristides Mavridis\*

Laboratory of Physical Chemistry, Department of Chemistry, National and Kapodistrian University of Athens, P.O. Box 64 004, 157 10 Zografou, Athens, Greece

Sotiris S. Xantheas

Environmental Molecular Sciences Laboratory, Pacific Northwest National Laboratory, P.O. Box 999, MS K1-96 Richland, Washington 99352

Received: July 6, 1998; In Final Form: September 23, 1998

The spectroscopic constants and the dissociation energy of the ground  $X^3\Sigma^-$  state of NBr have been computed using correlated wave functions and correlation-consistent orbital basis sets up to quintuple  $\zeta$  quality. Our best estimates for the equilibrium separation ( $r_e$ ) is 1.780 Å and for the dissociation energy ( $D_e$ ) 49.5 kcal/mol, suggesting that previous estimates obtained from indirect experimental measurements are in error by as much as 15 kcal/mol. The spectroscopic constants computed at the RCCSD(T)/aug-cc-pV5Z level of theory are  $\omega_e = 694.5 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ ,  $\omega_e x_e = 4.14 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ , and  $\alpha_e = 0.0039 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ . The linear variation of  $D_e$  for the NX species ( $X = \text{F, Cl, Br}$ ) supports a prediction of about 35 kcal/mol for the dissociation energy of NI.

## Introduction

Continuing our studies on nitrogen monohalides,<sup>1–3</sup> we present here ab initio results on the electronic properties of the ground  $X^3\Sigma^-$  state of nitrogen bromide (NBr). Monohalides of the group VA(15) elements are of practical interest since, being isovalent with  $\text{O}_2$ , they are potential candidates for chemical energy storage systems.<sup>4</sup>

NBr was first observed by Elliot<sup>5</sup> almost 60 years ago, who hypothesized a transition between two (unknown at that time) states of NBr after admitting bromine into a stream of active nitrogen. Since then quite a few experimental studies have appeared in the literature,<sup>6</sup> but we are aware of only one experimental paper<sup>7</sup> referring to the spectroscopic constants  $r_e$ ,  $\omega_e$ ,  $\omega_e x_e$ ,  $\alpha_e$ , as well as the dissociation energy  $D_e$  of the ground  $X^3\Sigma^-$  state of NBr. These researchers<sup>7</sup> have obtained a  $D_e = 67 \pm 5 \text{ kcal/mol}$  from the highest  $v'$  value observed in the emission  $b^1\Sigma^+ \rightarrow X^3\Sigma^-$  from the process  $\text{N}(^4\text{S}) + \text{Br}(^2\text{P}_{3/2}) \rightarrow \text{NBr}^* \rightarrow \text{NBr} + h\nu$  using a Birge–Sponer extrapolation scheme. Our calculations suggest (vide infra) that this  $D_e$  value is overestimated by as much as 15 kcal/mol, mainly due to the use of the Birge–Sponer extrapolation method.<sup>8</sup>

To the best of our knowledge no previous ab initio calculations have appeared in the literature for the dissociation energy and/or spectroscopic constants of NBr prior to our study.

## Computational Approach

To obtain an accurate  $D_e$  value for the process  $\text{N}(^4\text{S}) + \text{Br}(^2\text{P}_{3/2}) \rightarrow \text{NBr}(X^3\Sigma^-)$ , we have performed ab initio calculations using (i) complete active space self-consistent field (CASSCF), (ii) multi reference configuration interaction (MRCI) based on CASSCF + single + double excitations (CASSCF + 1 + 2), and (iii) coupled cluster plus single and double excitations with a perturbative estimate of the triple excitations from a restricted Hartree–Fock (RHF) reference wave function [RCCSD(T)] methods. The CASSCF reference function was constructed by allotting the 12 “valence” (active) electrons of NBr to the eight valence functions of the system, i.e., (core)<sup>30</sup>-

TABLE 1: SCF and CISD Energies  $E$  (Hartree) of the Atoms  $\text{N}(^4\text{S})$  and  $\text{Br}(^2\text{P})$  in a Series of cc-Basis Sets

basis sets	$\text{N}(^4\text{S})$		$\text{Br}(^2\text{P})$	
	$-E_{\text{SCF}}$	$-E_{\text{CISD}}$	$-E_{\text{SCF}}$	$-E_{\text{CISD}}$
cc-pVDZ	54.388414	54.477042	2572.36491	2572.47166
aug-cc-pVDZ	54.389871	54.484639	2572.36719	2572.48006
cc-pVTZ	54.397358	54.510958	2572.44021	2572.59215
aug-cc-pVTZ	54.397610	54.512798	2572.44047	2572.59505
cc-pVQZ	54.400176	54.520406	2572.44296	2572.60937
aug-cc-pVQZ	54.400225	54.520979	2572.44297	2572.61045
cc-pV5Z	54.400853	54.523306	2572.44324	2572.61462
aug-cc-pV5Z	54.400856	54.523543	2572.44325	2572.61517
numerical HF <sup>a</sup>	54.400934		2572.441333	

<sup>a</sup> Numerical Hartree–Fock, ref 15.

$[(4s4p)_{\text{Br}}; (2s2p)_{\text{N}}]$ .<sup>12</sup> This results in a wave function comprised of 96 configuration state functions (CSFs) with an asymptotic description of the  $\text{N}(^4\text{S}) + \text{Br}(^2\text{P})$  fragments exactly at the SCF level. At this stage, care has been taken for the wave function to obey symmetry and equivalence restrictions,<sup>9</sup> that is  $\Lambda = |\text{M}| = 0$ . Dynamical valence correlation was extracted within the internally contracted MRCI scheme<sup>10</sup> by allowing single and double excitations out of the CASSCF reference (icMRCI). Our highest level of calculation can be considered the icMRCI+Q (icMRCI plus the multireference analogue of the Davidson correction<sup>11</sup>) as well as the RCCSD(T) methods.

The basis sets employed are the correlation-consistent sets cc-pVxZ and aug-cc-pVxZ of Dunning and co-workers<sup>12</sup> with  $x = \text{D, T, Q, and 5}$ . The quality of the cc-basis sets and their ability to successfully describe a variety of molecular properties are well-established by now.<sup>13</sup> Our largest expansion, aug-cc-pV5Z, contains 267 generalized contracted spherical Gaussian functions.

For the  $X^3\Sigma^-$  state of NBr and for all basis sets employed, we report total energies, full potential-energy curves, spectroscopic constants ( $D_e$ ,  $r_e$ ,  $\omega_e$ ,  $\omega_e x_e$ ,  $\alpha_e$ ), and dipole moments ( $\mu$ ). The spectroscopic constants were extracted using a Dunham analysis by fitting 20 energy points around equilibrium, spanning

TABLE 2: Results on the Ground  $X^3\Sigma^-$  State of  $^{14}\text{N}^{79}\text{Br}^a$ 

method	basis set	$-E$	$r_e$	$D_e$	$\omega_e$	$\omega_e x_e$	$\alpha_e$	$\mu$
CASSCF	cc-pVDZ	2626.79448	1.888	25.8	549.1	5.39	0.0046	
	cc-pVTZ	2626.88553	1.854	30.1	588.9	5.18	0.0044	
	cc-pVQZ	2626.89187	1.849	30.6	591.5	5.11	0.0044	
	cc-pV5Z	2626.89303	1.848	30.7	593.9	5.21	0.0043	
	aug-cc-pVDZ	2626.80208	1.873	28.3	575.3	5.27	0.0044	
	aug-cc-pVTZ	2626.88656	1.852	30.4	590.4	5.12	0.0044	
	aug-cc-pVQZ	2626.89212	1.848	30.7	590.8	5.30	0.0046	
	aug-cc-pV5Z	2626.89315	1.848	30.8	592.5	5.16	0.0044	
icMRCI	cc-pVDZ	2626.99823	1.852	35.4	601.7	4.88	0.0043	0.908
	cc-pVTZ	2627.16210	1.805	44.1	659.3	4.66	0.0041	1.106
	cc-pVQZ	2627.19236	1.795	47.1	669.0	4.48	0.0041	1.107
	cc-pV5Z	2627.20217	1.791	48.3	674.8	4.53	0.0041	1.067
	CBS-limit		1.791	48.5				
	aug-cc-pVDZ	2627.02031	1.838	40.2	627.3	4.63	0.0041	0.961
	aug-cc-pVTZ	2627.16941	1.801	46.0	663.0	4.59	0.0041	1.084
	aug-cc-pVQZ	2627.19532	1.794	48.0	671.0	4.46	0.0041	1.065
	aug-cc-pV5Z	2627.20356	1.791	48.7	675.2	4.48	0.0040	1.088
	CBS-limit		1.791	48.8				
icMRCI+Q	cc-pVDZ	2627.0143	1.848	36.7	607.6	4.82	0.0042	
	cc-pVTZ	2627.1888	1.801	46.1	666.0	4.59	0.0041	
	cc-pVQZ	2627.2222	1.791	49.5	677.0	4.40	0.0040	
	cc-pV5Z	2627.2329	1.786	50.9	683.1	4.48	0.0041	
	CBS-limit		1.786	51.3				
	aug-cc-pVDZ	2627.0402	1.834	41.9	633.0	4.54	0.0040	
	aug-cc-pVTZ	2627.1976	1.797	48.4	670.9	4.51	0.0041	
	aug-cc-pVQZ	2627.2257	1.790	50.6	678.6	4.21	0.0040	
	aug-cc-pV5Z	2627.2345	1.786	51.4	683.4	4.41	0.0040	
	CBS-limit		1.786	51.5				
RCCSD(T)	cc-pVDZ	2627.01166	1.841	36.1	625.8	4.41	0.0040	
	cc-pVTZ	2627.19039	1.797	46.3	679.2	4.25	0.0039	
	cc-pVQZ	2627.22540	1.787	49.8	688.3	4.12	0.0039	
	cc-pV5Z	2627.23682	1.783	51.4	693.7	4.15	0.0039	
	CBS-limit		1.783	51.8				
	aug-cc-pVDZ	2627.03877	1.828	41.7	649.7	4.21	0.0038	
	aug-cc-pVTZ	2627.20003	1.793	48.7	683.4	4.24	0.0039	
	aug-cc-pVQZ	2627.22925	1.786	51.0	690.5	4.12	0.0039	
	aug-cc-pV5Z	2627.23851	1.783	51.9	694.5	4.14	0.0039	
	CBS-limit		1.783	52.0				
exp <sup>b</sup>		1.79		67 ± 5	691.8	4.72	0.0040	

<sup>a</sup> Absolute energies  $E$  (Hartree), equilibrium bond lengths  $r_e$  (Å), dissociation energies  $D_e$  (kcal/mol), spectroscopic constants  $\omega_e$  ( $\text{cm}^{-1}$ ),  $\omega_e x_e$  ( $\text{cm}^{-1}$ ),  $\alpha_e$  ( $\text{cm}^{-1}$ ), and dipole moments  $\mu$  (D) in different methodologies and basis sets. <sup>b</sup> Reference 7.




Figure 1. icMRCI potential-energy curves with the cc-pVDZ to cc-pV5Z basis sets; the lowest PEC is at the icMRCI+Q/cc-pV5Z level.


a distance of 3.0–4.2 bohr. By exploiting the convergence properties of the cc-basis sets, we also report the complete basis set limit (CBS) of the  $D_e$  and  $r_e$  parameters. All calculations were performed using the MOLPRO suite of programs.<sup>14</sup>

## Results and Discussion

The SCF and CISD energies of  $\text{N}(^4\text{S})$  and  $\text{Br}(^2\text{P})$  atoms are shown in Table 1. We note that the SCF energy of N with the

aug-cc-pV5Z set is just 0.08 mhartree above the numerical HF number,<sup>15</sup> while the corresponding value for the Br atom is lower than the numerical HF result by 1.9 mhartree. This obvious discrepancy in the Br atom is due to a symmetry breaking effect<sup>16</sup> caused by the  $C_{2v}$  symmetry restrictions imposed on the SCF calculations. By performing an ensemble (over the three  $M_L = 0, \pm 1$  components) SCF calculation with the aug-cc-pV5Z set, we obtain an upper bound to the numerical HF value by 0.06 mhartree. We report here the  $M_L = 0$  ( $A_1$ ) SCF energy results for the Br atom since the CASSCF wave function of NBr correlates at infinity to the  $M_L = 0$  projection of the Br atom.

Figure 1 shows PECs at the icMRCI level of theory in the cc-pVDZ through cc-pV5Z basis sets, referring to the process



The equilibrium CAS-atomic populations (cc-pV5Z basis) clearly show that the two atoms are held together by a pure  $\sigma$ -bond, with a transfer of 0.12  $e^-$  from Br to N:

$$4s^{1.96} 4p_z^{1.05} 4p_x^{1.89} 4p_y^{1.89} (dfg)^{0.10} / 2s^{1.89} 2p_z^{1.07} 2p_x^{1.06} 2p_y^{1.06} (dfg)^{0.05}$$

Table 2 summarizes all our pertinent numerical results. It is interesting to point out that at the SCF level (not listed), the binding energy ranges from  $-2.6$  (cc-pVDZ) to  $+3.3$  (cc-pV5Z) kcal/mol. This means that the binding energy is essentially correlation energy, of course defining the correlation energy with respect to a single reference. Imposing the requirement of a minimum space size consistent (CASSCF) wave function, a  $D_e$  of  $\sim 31$  kcal/mol is obtained, a value about 60% of the corresponding MRCI+Q result. It is also of interest to mention that the size nonextensivity of the MRCI function increases from 6.87 (cc-pVDZ) to 12.72 mhartree (cc-pV5Z), with corresponding numbers of 0.83–2.47 mhartree at the MRCI+Q level.

Table 2 reveals that the double- $\zeta$  quality basis set is inadequate in describing any property of the system, while the triple- $\zeta$  quality set is the absolute minimum for obtaining semiquantitative results. At least basis sets of quadruple- $\zeta$  quality are required in order to obtain quantitative results; the results are converged with the 5Z set. This is also obvious from the CBS limits reported in Table 2 for the  $D_e$  and  $r_e$  values. The CBS-limits for the plain and augmented sets were obtained using the exponential function<sup>17,13</sup>

$$P_x = P_{\text{CBS}} + A \exp(-Bx)$$

where  $x$  is the cardinal number of the basis set (2–5 for double through quintuple) and  $A$  and  $B$  are adjustable parameters.

At the highest level of calculation, RCCSD(T)/aug-cc-pV5Z, a value of  $D_e = 51.9$  kcal/mol is obtained, slightly different from the icMRCI+Q/aug-cc-pV5Z result of 51.4 kcal/mol (cf. Table 2). These numbers are only 0.1 kcal/mol away (smaller) from the corresponding CBS limits obtained using the exponential extrapolation. The difference of 3.2 kcal/mol in the CBS limits of  $D_e$  with the RCCSD(T) and icMRCI/aug-cc-pV5Z methods is significant and can probably be attributed to the size nonextensivity of the MRCI approach as well as to the error in the internal contraction scheme. The latter effect has been previously estimated to reduce  $D_e$  by 2.0 kcal/mol for  $\text{NCl}^{1,3}$  and by a comparable amount<sup>18</sup> for  $\text{O}_2$  and  $\text{F}_2$ . To compare our best estimate for  $D_e$  with the one that can be measured experimentally, a correction is required for the spin-orbit coupling of the Br atom, which causes a significant splitting of its  $^2\text{P}$  state. The “true” (experimental) binding energy can be approximated by the formula

$$D_e^{\text{exp}} = D_e - \frac{1}{3}\Delta E(^2\text{P}_{3/2} - ^2\text{P}_{1/2})_{\text{Br}} + \frac{1}{2}\Delta E(^3\Sigma_{\pm 1}^- - ^3\Sigma_0^-)_{\text{NBr}} \quad (1)$$

where  $\Delta E(^3\Sigma_{\pm 1}^- - ^3\Sigma_0^-)_{\text{NBr}}$  is the zero-field splitting of the NBr molecule. Taking this last splitting as zero (the maximum value is no more than  $25 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ ) and  $\Delta E(^2\text{P}_{3/2} - ^2\text{P}_{1/2})_{\text{Br}} = 3685 \text{ cm}^{-1} = 10.54 \text{ kcal/mol}$ ,<sup>19</sup> we obtain  $D_e^{\text{exp}} = 52.0 - 3.51 = 48.5 \text{ kcal/mol}$ . Assuming that core-valence correlation amounts to no more than  $^3 1 \text{ kcal/mol}$ , we finally obtain a value of  $D_e = 49.5 \text{ kcal/mol}$ .

A comparison with the dissociation energies<sup>3</sup> of the isoivalent monohalides NF and NCl is in order. For these species, the reported  $D_e$  values<sup>3</sup> without spin-orbit corrections are 76.6 (NF) and 64.6 (NCl) kcal/mol. Correcting as before for the spin-orbit splittings of F and Cl ( $404$  and  $881 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ , respectively<sup>19</sup>) according to eq 1, we obtain  $D_e^{\text{exp}}(\text{NF}) = 76.2 \text{ kcal/mol}$  and  $D_e^{\text{exp}}(\text{NCl}) = 63.8 \text{ kcal/mol}$ . A crude estimate for nitrogen iodide (NI) can be obtained from the incremental drop in the RCCSD(T)/CBS  $D_e$  values (uncorrected with respect to the spin-orbit splittings) of NX, X = F, Cl, and Br assuming that

this trend continues for NI. A constant incremental decrease of  $\sim 12 \text{ kcal/mol}$  in  $D_e$  is observed from NF to NBr; therefore, a value of  $40 \text{ kcal/mol}$  can be suggested for NI. Correcting this value for the spin-orbit splitting of the  $^2\text{P}$  state of the I atom ( $7603 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ ), we obtain  $D_e^{\text{exp}}(\text{NI}) \approx 33 \text{ kcal/mol}$ . A similar  $D_e$  value for NI is obtained by using the experimental  $\omega_e = 590 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ <sup>20</sup> in conjunction with Badger's rule<sup>21</sup> for obtaining an estimate of  $r_e(\text{NI}) = 2.0 \text{ \AA}$ . From the icMRCI+Q/cc-pV5Z PEC of NBr at this interatomic distance, a  $D_e$  value of  $43 \text{ kcal/mol}$  is extracted, which upon correction for the iodine spin-orbit splitting gives  $D_e \approx 36 \text{ kcal/mol}$ . Therefore, a mean  $D_e$  value of  $35 \text{ kcal/mol}$  can be finally suggested for the NI molecule.

Regarding the spectroscopic constants, we obtain  $r_e = 1.783 \text{ \AA}$  at the RCCSD(T)/(aug)-cc-pV5Z level and  $1.786 \text{ \AA}$  at the icMRCI+Q/(aug)-cc-pV5Z level. Core-valence effects are expected to decrease<sup>22,3</sup> the equilibrium bond length by  $0.002 - 0.003 \text{ \AA}$ , therefore yielding a best estimate of  $1.780 \text{ \AA}$ . This  $r_e$  value as well as the other spectroscopic constants ( $\omega_e$ ,  $\omega_e\chi_e$ ,  $\alpha_e$ ) are in very good agreement with the experimental results<sup>7</sup> (cf. Table 2), although the latter were “subject to large errors” according to the authors of ref 7. For reasons of completeness, we also report that  $\omega_e$  and  $\omega_e\chi_e$  values obtained with the  $^{81}\text{Br}$  isotope differ from the  $^{79}\text{Br}$  isotope, on the average, by  $1.5$  and  $0.1 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ , respectively. In particular the  $\omega_e$  and  $\omega_e\chi_e$  values of  $\text{N}-^{81}\text{Br}$  at the icMRCI/aug-cc-pV5Z(RCCSD(T)) are  $673.8$  ( $693.4$ ) and  $4.51$  ( $4.19$ )  $\text{cm}^{-1}$ .

## Synopsis

Using icMRCI and RCCSD(T) methods in conjunction with a series of correlation-consistent bases ranging from double to quintuple  $\zeta$  quality, we have calculated the spectroscopic constants of the ground  $X^3\Sigma^-$  state of the NBr. The final  $D_e$  and  $r_e$  values obtained are  $49.5 \text{ kcal/mol}$  and  $1.780 \text{ \AA}$ . The  $D_e$  values of NX species, X = F, Cl, Br, decrease regularly from F to Br by about  $12 \text{ kcal/mol}$ , allowing us to suggest an estimate of  $\sim 35 \text{ kcal/mol}$  for NI. The NBr molecule is slightly polar with a charge transfer of  $\sim 0.1 e^-$  from Br to N and a dipole moment of  $1.07 \text{ D}$ .

**Acknowledgment.** We thank Prof. K. A. Peterson and Dr. T. H. Dunning, Jr. for providing the bromine-atom basis sets prior to publication. Part of this work was performed under the auspices of the Division of Chemical Sciences, Office of Basic Energy Sciences, U.S. Department of Energy under Contract No. DE-AC06-76RLO 1830 with Battelle Memorial Institute, which operates the Pacific Northwest National Laboratory. Computer resources were provided by the Division of Chemical Sciences and by the Scientific Computing Staff, Office of Energy Research, at the National Energy Research Supercomputer Center (Livermore, CA).

## References and Notes

- (1) Papakondylis, A.; Mavridis, A. *Chem. Phys. Lett.* **1993**, *216*, 107.
- (2) Papakondylis, A.; Mavridis, A.; Metropoulos, A. *J. Phys. Chem.* **1995**, *99*, 10759.
- (3) Xantheas, S. S.; Dunning, T. H., Jr.; Mavridis, A. *J. Chem. Phys.* **1997**, *106*, 3280.
- (4) Zhao, Y.; Setser, D. W. *J. Phys. Chem.* **1995**, *99*, 12179 and references therein.
- (5) Elliot, A. *Proc. R. Soc. (London)* **1939**, *A169*, 469.
- (6) Bhanuprakash, K.; Chandra, P.; Hirsch, G.; Buenker, R. *J. Chem. Phys.* **1989**, *133*, 346 and references therein.
- (7) Milton, E. R. V.; Dunford, H. B.; Douglas, A. E. *J. Chem. Phys.* **1961**, *35*, 1202.
- (8) See, for instance: Gaydon, A. G. *Dissociation Energies and Spectra of Diatomic Molecules*; Chapman and Hall Ltd.: London, 1968.

- (9) Nesbet, R. K. *Proc. R. Soc.* **1955**, A230, 312.
- (10) (a) Werner, H.-J.; Knowles, P.-J. *J. Chem. Phys.* **1988**, 89, 5803. (b) Knowles, P.-J.; Werner, H.-J. *Chem. Phys. Lett.* **1988**, 145, 514. (c) Werner, H.-J.; Reinsch, E. A. *J. Chem. Phys.* **1982**, 76, 3144. (d) Werner, H.-J. *Adv. Chem. Phys.* **1987**, LXIX, 1.
- (11) (a) Langhoff, S. R.; Davidson, E. R. *Int. J. Quantum Chem.* **1974**, 8, 61. (b) Blomberg, M. R. A.; Siegbahn, P. E. M. *J. Chem. Phys.* **1983**, 78, 5682.
- (12) (a) Dunning, T. H., Jr. *J. Chem. Phys.* **1989**, 90, 1007. (b) Kendall, R. A.; Dunning, T. H., Jr.; Harrison, R. J. *J. Chem. Phys.* **1992**, 96, 6796. (c) Peterson, K. A.; Dunning, T. H., Jr. Manuscript in preparation.
- (13) See, for instance: Peterson, K. A.; Dunning, T. H., Jr. *J. Mol. Struct. (THEOCHEM)* **1997**, 400, 93 and references therein.
- (14) MOLPRO is a package of ab initio programs written by H.-J. Werner and P.-J. Knowles, with contributions from J. Almlöf, R. D. Amos, A. Berning, M. J. O. Deegan, F. Eckert, S. T. Elbert, C. Hampel, R. Lindh, W. Meyer, A. Nicklass, K. Peterson, R. Pitzer, A. J. Stone, P. R. Taylor, M. E. Mura, P. Pulay, M. Schuetz, H. Stoll, T. Thorsteinsson, and D. L. Cooper.
- (15) Partridge, H. J. *Chem. Phys.* **1989**, 90, 1043.
- (16) Roothaan, C. C. *J. Rev. Mod. Phys.* **1951**, 23, 69.
- (17) (a) Xantheas, S. S.; Dunning, T. H., Jr. *J. Phys. Chem.* **1993**, 97, 18. (b) Woon, D. E.; Dunning, T. H., Jr. *J. Chem. Phys.* **1993**, 99, 1914. (c) Peterson, K. A.; Kendall, R. A.; Dunning, T. H., Jr. *J. Chem. Phys.* **1993**, 99, 1930.
- (18) Peterson, K. A.; Kendall, R. A.; Dunning, T. H., Jr. *J. Chem. Phys.* **1993**, 99, 9790.
- (19) Moore, C. E. Atomic Energy Levels NSRDS—NBS Circular No. 35; U.S. GPO: Washington, DC, 1971.
- (20) Huber, K. P.; Herzberg, G. *Molecular spectra and molecular structure. Constants of diatomic molecules*; Van Nostrand Reinhold: New York, 1979).
- (21) (a) Badger, R. M. *J. Chem. Phys.* **1934**, 2, 128. (b) Herschbach, D. R.; Laurie, V. W. *J. Chem. Phys.* **1961**, 35, 458.
- (22) Bauschlicher, C. W., Jr.; Langhoff, S. R.; Taylor, P. R. *J. Chem. Phys.* **1988**, 88, 2540.