RESEARCH ARTICLE | JUNE 18 2024

Quadruple bonds in MoC: Accurate calculations and precise measurement of the dissociation energy of low-lying states of MoC ⊘

Alexandros Androutsopoulos 💿 ; Demeter Tzeli 🖾 💿 ; Kimberly H. Tomchak 💿 ; Michael D. Morse 🖾 💿

Check for updates

J. Chem. Phys. 160, 234304 (2024) https://doi.org/10.1063/5.0211422





# **The Journal of Chemical Physics**

Special Topic: Molecular Dynamics, Methods and Applications 60 Years after Rahman

## Submit Today



r٦٦

Export Citation

# Quadruple bonds in MoC: Accurate calculations and precise measurement of the dissociation energy of low-lying states of MoC

Cite as: J. Chem. Phys. 160, 234304 (2024); doi: 10.1063/5.0211422 Submitted: 30 March 2024 • Accepted: 31 May 2024 • Published Online: 18 June 2024

Alexandros Androutsopoulos,<sup>1</sup> D Demeter Tzeli,<sup>1,2,a)</sup> Kimberly H. Tomchak,<sup>3</sup> and Michael D. Morse<sup>3,a)</sup>

#### **AFFILIATIONS**

- <sup>1</sup> Laboratory of Physical Chemistry, Department of Chemistry, National and Kapodistrian University of Athens, Panepistimiopolis Zografou, Athens 15784, Greece
- <sup>2</sup>Theoretical and Physical Chemistry Institute, National Hellenic Research Foundation, 48 Vassileos Constantinou Ave., Athens 11635, Greece

<sup>3</sup>Department of Chemistry, University of Utah, Salt Lake City, Utah 84112, USA

<sup>a)</sup>Authors to whom correspondence should be addressed: tzeli@chem.uoa.gr and morse@chem.utah.edu. Tel.: +30-210-727-4307; +1-801-581-8319

#### ABSTRACT

In the present work, the electronic structure and chemical bonding of the MoC  $X^3\Sigma^-$  ground state and the six lowest excited states,  $A^3\Delta$ ,  $a^1\Gamma$ ,  $b^5\Sigma^-$ ,  $c^1\Delta$ ,  $d^1\Sigma^+$ , and  $e^{5}\Pi$ , have been investigated in detail using multireference configuration interaction methods and basis sets, including relativistic effective core potentials. In addition, scalar relativistic effects have been considered in the second order Douglas–Kroll–Hess approximation, while spin–orbit coupling has also been calculated. Five of the investigated states,  $X^3\Sigma^-$ ,  $A^3\Delta$ ,  $a^1\Gamma$ ,  $c^1\Delta$ , and  $d^1\Sigma^+$ , present quadruple  $\sigma^2 \sigma^2 \pi^2 \pi^2$  bonds. Experimentally, the predissociation threshold of MoC was measured using resonant two-photon ionization spectroscopy, allowing for a precise measurement of the dissociation energy of the ground state. Theoretically, the complete basis set limit of the calculated dissociation energy with respect to the atomic ground state products, including corrections for scalar relativistic effects,  $D_e(D_0)$ , is computed as 5.13(5.06) eV, in excellent agreement with our measured value of  $D_0(MoC)$  of 5.136(5) eV. Furthermore, the calculated dissociation energies of the states having quadruple bonds with respect to their adiabatic atomic products range from 6.22 to 7.23 eV. The excited electronic states  $A^3\Delta_2$  and  $c^1\Delta_2$  are calculated to lie at 3899 and 8057 cm<sup>-1</sup>, also in excellent agreement with the experimental values of DaBell *et al.*, 4002.5 and 7834 cm<sup>-1</sup>, respectively.

Published under an exclusive license by AIP Publishing. https://doi.org/10.1063/5.0211422

#### I. INTRODUCTION

Transition metal compounds have a wide range of applications since they play a key role in such diverse areas as organometallic chemistry, catalysis, surface science, and astrophysics.<sup>1</sup> Their theoretical calculation can be quite complex due to the high density of states and the high spin and orbital angular momentum of the constituent transition metal atom.<sup>2</sup> The investigation of diatomic molecules that contain transition metals can provide valuable insights into the properties of more complex transition metal systems.

Transition metal-carbon bonds, and specifically the molybdenum-carbon bond, are involved in many fields such as homogeneous and heterogeneous catalysis, organometallic

chemistry, biology, high temperature chemistry, materials science, etc.<sup>3,4</sup> As a result, the study of diatomic MoC has attracted both theoretical and experimental attention. In total, nine studies of diatomic MoC have been published, as summarized in Table I. In 1981, Gupta and Gingerich<sup>5</sup> performed a Knudsen effusion mass spectroscopic study of the reaction Mo(g) + C(graphite)  $\rightleftharpoons$  MoC(g). From the measured equilibrium constant and the literature value of the vaporization enthalpy of graphite, the bond dissociation energy (BDE) of MoC was determined to be D<sub>0</sub> = 4.95 ± 0.17 eV. In 1997, Shim and Gingerich<sup>6</sup> carried out a series of all electron *ab initio* complete active space self-consistent field (CASSCF) and MRCI calculations that included relativistic corrections so as to determine the molecule's low-lying electronic states. As for the ground state, X<sup>3</sup>Σ<sup>-</sup>, the obtained results are  $r_e = 1.693$  Å,

State	Methodology	r <sub>e</sub>	$D_e$	$D_0$	$\omega_e$	$\omega_e x_e$	μ	T <sub>e</sub>
	High temperature mass spectrometry <sup>a</sup> CASSCF/RC/[10s8p5d1f/ <sub>Mo</sub> 4s3p1d/ <sub>C</sub> ] <sup>b</sup>	1.693	5.199	4.95 (0.17) 5.139	971	1.69	6.15	
$X^{3}\Sigma^{-}$	MRCI/RC/[10s8p5d1f/ <sub>Mo</sub> 4s3p1d/ <sub>C</sub> ] <sup>b</sup> R2PI spectroscopy <sup>c</sup>	1.688 1.6760			997	1.69		
	DF spectroscopy <sup>d</sup> MRCISD + Q/RECP/[5s3p3d1f/ <sub>Mo</sub> 3s3p1d/ <sub>C</sub> ] <sup>e</sup>	1.717	4.440		1008.3 968	3.3	5.87	
	DFT/BP86/QZ4P <sup>t</sup> CCSD(T)/aug-cc-pwCVQZ(-PP) <sup>g</sup>	1.667 1.660			1034.7		5.209	
	Anion photoelectron spectroscopy <sup>h</sup> High-resolution Stark spectroscopy <sup>i</sup>				1000 (100)		6.07 (0.18)	
$A^{3}\Delta$	CASSCF/RC/[10s8p5d1f/ <sub>Mo</sub> 4s3p1d/ <sub>C</sub> ] <sup>b</sup> DF spectroscopy $({}^{3}\Lambda_{2} \text{ component})^{d}$	1.692	5.81		1013 1003		2.16	4500 4002.5
	MRCISD/RECP/[5s3p3d1f/ <sub>Mo</sub> 3s3p1d/ <sub>C</sub> ] <sup>e</sup> Anion photoelectron spectroscopy <sup>h</sup>	1.711			998		2.77	3660 4120 (200)
$a^1\Gamma$	CASSCF/RC/[10s8p5d1f/ <sub>Mo</sub> 4s3p1d/ <sub>C</sub> ] <sup>b</sup> MRCISD/RECP/[5s3p3d1f/ <sub>Mo</sub> 3s3p1d/ <sub>C</sub> ] <sup>e</sup> Anion photoelectron spectroscopy <sup>h</sup>	1.675 1.693			1042 1030		6.14 5.89	7207 7647 5750 (160)
$b^5\Sigma^-$	CASSCF/RC/[10s8p5d1f/ <sub>Mo</sub> 4s3p1d/ <sub>C</sub> ] <sup>b</sup> MRCISD/RECP/[5s3p3d1f/ <sub>Mo</sub> 3s3p1d/ <sub>C</sub> ] <sup>e</sup> Anion photoelectron spectroscopy <sup>h</sup>	1.769 1.779	2.80		891 873 [890 (60)]		2.46	6178 5676 6290 (80)
$c^1\Delta$	CASSCF/RC/[10s8p5d1f/ <sub>Mo</sub> 4s3p1d/ <sub>C</sub> ] <sup>b</sup>	1.678	6.188		1026		1.44	9312 7824
	MRCISD/RECP/[5s3p3d1f/ <sub>Mo</sub> 3s3p1d/ <sub>C</sub> ] <sup>e</sup> Anion photoelectron spectroscopy <sup>h</sup>	1.697			1031 1036 [890 (60)]		2.08	8198 7250 (80)
$d^1\Sigma^+$	$\begin{array}{l} CASSCF/RC/[10s8p5d1f/_{Mo}4s3p1d/_{C}]^{b} \\ MRCISD/RECP/[5s3p3d1f/_{Mo}3s3p1d/_{C}]^{c} \end{array}$	1.676 1.697			1032 1022		5.83	11 639 9787
<i>е</i> <sup>5</sup> П	$\begin{array}{l} CASSCF/RC/[10s8p5d1f/_{Mo}4s3p1d/_{C}]^{b} \\ MRCISD/RECP/[5s3p3d1f/_{Mo}3s3p1d/_{C}]^{e} \end{array}$	1.836 1.842	2.30		807 828		2.85	10 228 8740

**TABLE I.** Previous theoretical and experimental data on the calculated states of MoC: bond length  $r_e$  (Å), dissociation energies  $D_e$  and  $D_0$  (eV), vibrational frequency  $\omega_e$  (cm<sup>-1</sup>), anharmonic corrections  $\omega_e x_e$  (cm<sup>-1</sup>), dipole moment  $\mu$  (Debye), and excitation energy  $T_e$  (cm<sup>-1</sup>).

<sup>a</sup>Reference 5.

<sup>b</sup>Reference 6.

Reference 7.

<sup>d</sup>Reference 9.

Reference 10.

<sup>f</sup>Reference 11.

<sup>g</sup>Reference 13. <sup>h</sup>Reference 8.

<sup>i</sup>Reference 12.

 $\omega_e = 971 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ , and  $D_e = 5.20 \text{ eV}$ . In 1998, Brugh *et al.* performed the first optical spectroscopic investigation of the MoC.<sup>7</sup> It was deduced that the ground state is the  $\Omega = 0^+$  spin–orbit component of a  ${}^{3}\Sigma^{-}$  state with  $r_0 = 1.6760$  Å. In 1999, Li *et al.* measured the electron affinity of MoC using photoelectron spectroscopy and identified several excited electronic states.<sup>8</sup> In 2001, Morse *et al.* performed a dispersed fluorescence study of MoC.<sup>9</sup> In that work, the term energies and vibrational frequencies of the  ${}^{3}\Delta_2$  and  ${}^{1}\Delta_2$ states were measured. It was found that the  ${}^{3}\Delta_2$  state lies at 4002.5 cm<sup>-1</sup>, while the  ${}^{1}\Delta_2$  state lies at 7834 cm<sup>-1</sup>. In 2006, Denis and Balasubramanian<sup>10</sup> investigated the potential energy curves and

spectroscopic constants of the ground and 29 low-lying excited states of MoC with various spin and orbital angular momentum symmetries within 48 000 cm<sup>-1</sup> by employing the CASSCF methodology, followed by MRCI methods. In the same year, Stevens *et al.*<sup>11</sup> calculated the equilibrium bond length, dipole moment, and harmonic vibrational frequency of the ground  $X^3\Sigma^$ state of MoC using flexible basis sets comprised of Slater type functions and a series of exchange–correlation functionals. The results obtained using the BP86 functional form are  $r_e = 1.667$  Å,  $\mu = 5.209$  D, and  $\omega_e = 1034.7$  cm<sup>-1</sup>. In 2007, the Steimle group employed high-resolution Stark spectroscopy to measure the dipole moment of MoC in the v = 0 levels of the  $X^3\Sigma^-$  ground and  $[18.6]^3\Pi_1$  states.<sup>12</sup> In 2015, Liu *et al.*<sup>13</sup> studied the isoelectronic diatomic MoC<sup>-</sup> and NbN<sup>-</sup> anions via photoelectron imaging spectroscopy combined with quantum chemistry calculations. Within this context, a series of *ab initio* calculations were carried out to investigate the ground state structures and energies of the MoC<sup>-</sup> – and NbN<sup>-</sup> ions and their neutral counterparts. At the CCSD(T)/aug-cc-pwCVQZ(-PP) computational level, the MoC ground state equilibrium distance, re, is 1.660 Å.

The aim of the present work is the accurate investigation of the electronic structure and the chemical bonding of the lowest energy states, with a view to clarify their bonding patterns as well as determine the ground state dissociation energy. Spectroscopic data and potential energy curves of these seven states,  $X^3\Sigma^-$ ,  $A^3\Delta$ ,  $a^1\Gamma$ ,  $b^5\Sigma^-$ ,  $c^1\Delta$ ,  $d^1\Sigma^+$ , and  $e^5\Pi$ , employing multireference configuration interaction methodologies along with a series of basis sets, have been obtained. In addition, relativistic effects and spin-orbit interactions are considered. Furthermore, the bond dissociation energy of the ground state is precisely measured using resonant two-photon ionization (R2PI) spectroscopy. Finally, the five low-lying states,  $X^{3}\Sigma^{-}$ ,  $A^{3}\Delta$ ,  $a^{1}\Gamma$ ,  $c^{1}\Delta$ , and  $d^{1}\Sigma^{+}$ , which all correlate to excited separated atom limits, are found to form quadruple bonds. This is uncommon in diatomic molecules containing second row atoms.<sup>14,15</sup> The  $b^5\Sigma^-$  and  $e^5\Pi$  states, which correlate to ground state separated atom limits, form two and a half bonds.

#### **II. COMPUTATIONAL METHODOLOGY**

The  $X^{3}\Sigma^{-}$ ,  $A^{3}\Delta$ ,  $a^{1}\Gamma$ ,  $b^{5}\Sigma^{-}$ ,  $c^{1}\Delta$ ,  $d^{1}\Sigma^{+}$ , and  $e^{5}\Pi$  states were calculated via multireference methodology. A series of basis sets were used in order to (i) evaluate the importance of core electrons, (ii) compare and check the results obtained using basis sets including relativistic pseudopotentials and using full electron Douglas-Kroll consistent basis sets, and (iii) calculate Complete Basis Set (CBS) limits for the main spectroscopic data. Thus, both augmented correlation consistent basis sets for valence electrons and augmented weighted core correlation consistent basis sets were used, i.e., aug-cc-pV5Z(-PP) and aug-cc-pwCV5Z(-PP).<sup>16-18</sup> Furthermore, the CBS limits of the main spectroscopic data were calculated via the series of aug-cc-pwCVnZ, n = D(2), T(3), Q(4), and five basis sets. Finally, relativistic effects, i.e., mass-velocity and Darwin terms, are considered by the second order Douglas-Kroll-Hess (DKH2) approximation,<sup>19</sup> employing full electron Douglas-Kroll consistent basis sets, i.e., aug-cc-pwCVTZ-DK and aug-cc-pVTZ-DK. In detail, for the Mo atom, the largest used basis set, including relativistic pseudopotentials, is the aug-cc-pwCV5Z-PP, which is contracted to [10s10p9d6f5g4h3i], while the largest full electron Douglas-Kroll consistent basis set is the aug-cc-pwCVTZ-DK, contracted to [11s10p8d4f3g]. For the C atom, the largest basis set is aug-cc-pwCV5Z, contracted to [11s10p8d6f4g2h].

At first, the complete active space self-consistent field (CASSCF) methodology was used, followed by the multireference configuration interaction + single + double excitations (CASSCF + 1 + 2 = MRCISD) method. The CASSCF reference wavefunctions are built by distributing 10 [Mo  $(4d^55s^1) + C (2s^22p^2)$ ] active electrons to ten orbital functions, one 5s and five 4d's on Mo + one 2s and three 2p's on C, and the reference spaces range from 3080  $(d^5\Pi)$  to 7476  $(X^3\Sigma^-)$  configuration state functions (CSFs). Core

correlation effects were considered by including the  $4s^24p^6$  electrons of the molybdenum atom in the MRCI space. The corresponding MRCI spaces range from  $2 \times 10^{10} (b^1 \Gamma)$  to  $4 \times 10^{10} (X^3 \Sigma^-)$  CSFs. The construction of PECs becomes feasible after reducing these numbers by more than one or two orders of magnitude, respectively, via the internal contraction scheme,<sup>20,21</sup> icMRCISD. The Davidson correction for unlinked quadruples + Q<sup>22</sup> was used to ameliorate significant size nonextensivity problems. The potential energy curves are calculated at the icMRCISD(+Q) levels of theory. Bond distances, dissociation energies, relative energy ordering, and other spectroscopic constants are computed at all of the levels of theory employed. Note that in our analysis, spin-orbit effects have also been taken into consideration. In addition, in each case, the bonding has been analyzed, which is visually represented through valence bond Lewis (vbL) diagrams. It is important to note that the bond order is determined by the number of chemical bonds, covalent or dative, between the atoms. A complete bond corresponds to a pair of electrons. The CASSCF wavefunctions display correct axial angular momentum symmetry along the molecular axis, i.e.,  $|\Lambda| = 0, 1, 2,$ etc., while the symmetry of the MRCISD wavefunctions conforms to the symmetry species of the Abelian  $C_{2v}$  point group, i.e.,  $A_1$  (or  $A_2$ ) for  $\Delta$  and  $\Gamma$ ,  $A_1$  for  $\Sigma^+$ ,  $A_2$  for  $\Sigma^-$ , and  $B_1$  (or  $B_2$ ) for  $\Pi$ . Finally, the spin-orbit coupling has been calculated employing the state interacting method for the  $X^{3}\Sigma^{-}$  and  $A^{3}\Delta$  states.<sup>23</sup> All calculations were performed by the MOLPRO suite of codes.23

Finally, for the evaluation of the complete basis set (CBS) limit of the energetics, bond distances, spectroscopic values, etc., two approaches were used.<sup>24–27</sup> In the first approach (I), all parameters are calculated in a series of basis sets, and then the obtained values are extrapolated. In the second approach (II), the total energies are extrapolated to the CBS limit, and then the spectroscopic constants are defined by the extrapolated CBS PEC. In both approaches, the mixed Gaussian/exponential form (1) and the power function extrapolation scheme (2) are used. Note that both approaches and both extrapolation schemes have been successfully used in previous investigations,<sup>24–27</sup>

$$y_x = y_{CBS} + Ae^{-(n-1)} + Be^{-(n-1)^2}$$
, (1)

$$y_x = y_{CBS} + B n^{-A}$$
. (2)

Here, A and B are the fitted parameters, and n corresponds to the size of the basis set.

#### **III. EXPERIMENTAL**

The experimental methods employed in resonant two-photon ionization (R2PI) spectroscopy have been described in detail elsewhere;<sup>28</sup> the nuances of the method are more fully described in the Ph.D. dissertation of Dale J. Brugh.<sup>29</sup> An overview of the predissociation-based method for the measurement of bond dissociation energies is provided in our review article.<sup>30</sup> In the present investigation, a 1:1 V:Mo alloy disk was ablated using the second harmonic of a Nd:YAG laser (532 nm, ~18 mJ/pulse) to provide the source of Mo atoms. There is no benefit to using an alloy for this purpose; this was simply the most convenient source of Mo available in the laboratory. Because all recorded spectra are massanalyzed, the presence of vanadium in the sample is irrelevant. A Smalley-type laser ablation source<sup>31</sup> employing a carrier gas mixture of 4% CH<sub>4</sub> in helium at a pressure of 70 psig generated the MoC molecules. Although one might expect this gas mixture to also generate hydrogenated species such as MoCH, MoCH<sub>2</sub>, etc., there was no evidence of such species in the mass spectrum. The highpressure gas expanded into a low-pressure chamber (~10<sup>-5</sup> Torr), generating a supersonic expansion. Based on similar experiments on other molecules, the rotational temperature of the MoC molecules is expected to be roughly 30 K or less.<sup>32</sup> A conical skimmer (0.5 cm diameter) then roughly collimated the expanding gases as they entered a second chamber held at ~10<sup>-6</sup> Torr.

The second chamber housed a time-of-flight mass spectrometer (TOFMS) that was used to record mass-analyzed optical spectra using the R2PI process. Two lasers were utilized for the spectroscopic study: an optical parametric oscillator (OPO) laser that was scanned over the predissociation threshold of the MoC molecules and an exciplex laser operated on a KrF gas mixture, lasing at 248 nm. The tunable OPO laser was fired first, at the time of the greatest concentration of MoC molecules in the ion source of the TOFMS. The KrF laser was fired 80 ns later. Any ions produced were accelerated by a Wiley-McLaren electrode assembly,<sup>33</sup> separated by mass in the reflectron TOFMS,<sup>34</sup> and detected using a dual microchannel plate detector. The time-of-flight of the ions to the detector provided an unambiguous assignment of the mass of the ion. In this ionization scheme, ions could be generated by one of three processes. The molecules could absorb two OPO laser photons and be ionized by a one-color, two-photon process. Alternatively, they could either absorb two photons from the KrF laser (another one-color, two-photon process) or they could absorb one photon from the OPO laser and 80 ns later absorb one photon from the KrF laser, being ionized in a two-color, two-photon process. Because of the delay between the two laser pulses, the latter two ionization processes generate ions 80 ns after the first process. As a result, each isotopic modification of MoC is split into two peaks in the TOF mass spectrum, separated by 80 ns. By reducing the fluence of the KrF laser sufficiently to eliminate the ion signal due to the absorption of two KrF laser photons, the second peak in the TOFMS signal provides a signal dominated by the delayed two-color OPO + KrF ionization process, which may, therefore, be selectively detected. The delay of 80 ns between the two laser pulses allows MoC molecules that are excited above the dissociation limit by the tunable OPO laser to have sufficient time to dissociate before ionization occurs. In previous studies of molecules where the ground separated atom limit generates few potential energy curves, such as CrO and YbO, we have found that such a delay is necessary to obtain a sharp predissociation threshold that is indicative of the bond dissociation energy. <sup>6</sup> In the case of MoC, there was no discernible difference in the predissociation threshold between the signal recorded by the OPO + OPO one-color R2PI spectrum and the OPO + KrF twocolor R2PI spectrum, demonstrating that predissociation occurs on a nanosecond to subnanosecond time scale as soon as the BDE is exceeded.

### **IV. EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS**

Diatomic <sup>98</sup>Mo<sup>12</sup>C was monitored for this study because it is the most abundant isotopologue (23.86% natural abundance) and there is a convenient gap in the mass spectrum between this species



**FIG. 1.** Delayed OPO + KrF R2PI spectrum of MoC (upper blue trace) in the energetic vicinity of its predissociation threshold at 41 425(25) cm<sup>-1</sup>. Mo atomic transitions (lower red trace) were used to calibrate the spectrum.

and the next heavier isotopologue, <sup>100</sup>Mo<sup>12</sup>C (we neglect <sup>98</sup>Mo<sup>13</sup>C, as its natural abundance is only 0.268%). This gap in the mass spectrum allowed us to conveniently monitor <sup>98</sup>Mo<sup>12</sup>C<sup>+</sup> ions produced by the delayed two-color, two-photon ionization scheme without interference from other isotopologues. Figure 1 displays the two-color, two-photon ionization spectrum over the range 39 000–43 000 cm<sup>-1</sup> obtained using the 80 ns delayed OPO + KrF ionization process. Below 41 425 cm<sup>-1</sup>, the spectrum displays a complicated quasicontinuum that defies spectroscopic analysis. At 41 425 cm<sup>-1</sup>, however, the ion signal rapidly drops to baseline, indicating that the molecule dissociates within the 80 ns period prior to the KrF ionization laser pulse. Accordingly, we assign  $41 425 \text{ cm}^{-1}$  as the bond dissociation energy. The horizontal bar atop the black arrow in Fig. 1 designates the error  $(\pm 25 \text{ cm}^{-1})$  assigned to the measurement. The assigned error encompasses the linewidth of the excitation laser ( $\sim 10 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ ), the rotational temperature of the molecules, a possible calibration error in the wavenumber axis, and the subjective sharpness of the drop in cation signal to baseline. Calibration of the wavenumber axis was accomplished by simultaneously recording the optical spectrum of atomic <sup>98</sup>Mo and comparing the observed atomic spectrum with atomic data compiled by NIST.<sup>37</sup> The only previous measurement of the MoC BDE was the Knudsen effusion mass spectrometric study, which obtained 4.95(17) eV.<sup>5</sup> Here, we report the assigned error limit in parentheses, in units of the last reported digit. Our measurement, 5.136(3) eV, shifts this value higher by 0.19 eV and decreases the error limit by a factor of 50.

#### V. COMPUTATIONAL RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The seven lowest energy states,  $X^3\Sigma^-$ ,  $A^3\Delta$ ,  $a^1\Gamma$ ,  $b^5\Sigma^-$ ,  $c^1\Delta$ ,  $d^1\Sigma^+$ , and  $e^{5}\Pi$ , were calculated via multireference methodologies to provide highly precise electronic state data and to study the chemical bonding. Their potential energy curves are plotted in Fig. 2. The  $b^5\Sigma^-$  and  $e^{5}\Pi$  states correlate to atomic ground state products, Mo  $(^{7}S_g) + C (^{3}P_g)$ , while the  $X^3\Sigma^-$ ,  $A^3\Delta$ ,  $a^1\Gamma$ ,  $c^1\Delta$ , and  $d^1\Sigma^+$  correlate to Mo  $(^{5}S_g) + C (^{3}P_g)$ , Mo  $(^{5}D_g) + C (^{3}P_g)$ , and Mo  $(^{3}G_g) + C (^{3}P_g)$ 



**FIG. 2.** Potential energy curves of the calculated states of MoC at the C-MRCISD(+Q)/aug-cc-pwCV5Z(-PP) computational level. The Mo ( ${}^{5}S_{g}$ ) + C ( ${}^{3}P_{g}$ ) limit, to which the  $X^{3}\Sigma^{-}$  state correlates, is used to define the zero of energy for this figure.

(singlet states), respectively. Despite having different spin multiplicities, these five states all exhibit the same quadruple bonding.

#### A. Electronic structure and chemical bonding

 $\mathbf{X}^{3}\Sigma^{-}$  state: The ground state of MoC is separated from the remaining states by ~4000 cm<sup>-1</sup> $^{6,9}$  It dissociates to the Mo  $({}^{5}S_{g}) + C ({}^{3}P_{g})$  excited separated atom limit, as displayed in Fig. 2. At the potential energy minimum, the leading CASSCF configuration is  $|X^3\Sigma^-\rangle = 0.91|1\sigma^2 2\sigma^2 1\delta^1_+ 1\pi_x^2 1\pi_y^2 1\delta^1_-\rangle$  and the X state has an ionic character. Mulliken, natural bond orbital (NBO) analysis, and Hirshfeld population analysis show that the C atom has a negative charge. The Mulliken negative charge depends on the basis set and ranges from -0.33 to -0.72 electrons, while the NBO, Hirshfeld H-charges, and CM5 charges are independent of the basis set; their values are -0.34, -0.35, and -0.52, respectively. All population analysis methods show the occupation in the 5s orbital of Mo at the equilibrium bond length to be less than 0.2 electrons, indicating that the electronic wavefunction at the potential energy minimum is dominated by an ionic structure, i.e., Mo<sup>+</sup>+ C<sup>-</sup>. The NBO and Hirshfeld charges are the same and are considered

to be the most accurate. Regarding the Mulliken populations, the icMRCISD/AVTZDK and C-icMRCISD/AWC5Z(PP) methodologies provide charges in agreement with the NBO data. The corresponding atomic distributions (Mo/C) are as follows:  $5s^{0.14}5p_{z}^{0.10}5p_{x}^{0.01}5p_{y}^{0.01}dd_{z}^{1.15}4d_{xz}^{1.05}4d_{yz}^{1.05}4d_{xz}^{0.99}4d_{xy}^{0.99}/2s^{1.85}2p_{z}^{0.79}2p_{x}^{0.01}$   $2p_{y}^{0.91}$  and  $5s^{0.20}5p_{z}^{0.09}5p_{x}^{0.02}5p_{y}^{0.02}4d_{zz}^{1.26}4d_{xz}^{1.06}4d_{yz}^{1.06}4d_{x}^{0.99}/2s^{1.75}$   $2p_{z}^{0.71}2p_{x}^{0.90}2p_{y}^{0.09}$ , respectively. The number of electrons in the Mo 5s orbital as a function of internuclear distance is depicted in Fig. 3(a); at the potential energy minimum, the 5s orbital is almost empty. At internuclear distances between 1.5 and 3.5 Å, the nature of the intermolecular interaction becomes mainly ionic. The X state dissociates to Mo  $({}^{5}S_{g}) + C ({}^{3}P_{g})$ , and it seems that avoided crossings exist near 3.5 and 2.5 Å; see Fig. S1 of the supplementary material. In Fig. 3(a), a significant reduction in the occupancy of the 5s atomic orbital of Mo is observed as the internuclear separation contracts from 4 to 3.5 Å [ $Q_{5s(Mo)} = 0.81 \rightarrow Q_{5s(Mo)} = 0.27$ ]. This is an indication of the transition to the ionic structure,  $Mo^+$  ( ${}^{6}S_{g}$ ) +  $C^{-}$  (<sup>4</sup>S<sub>u</sub>), from the covalent one, Mo (<sup>5</sup>S<sub>g</sub>) + C (<sup>3</sup>P<sub>g</sub>), as the internuclear separation is decreased. There is also the possibility that the bonds could be formed from Mo  $({}^{7}S_{g}) + C({}^{5}S_{u})$ , where the C atom is excited, but the  $1\sigma^2$  (5s<sup>1</sup>-2s<sup>1</sup>) bond would be very polar, i.e., almost 0.8 electrons being transferred to the C atom so that the  $1\sigma^2$  electron pair is located on the carbon. Regardless of whether the bonding results from Mo<sup>+</sup>( $^{6}S_{g}$ ; 4d<sup>5</sup>) + C<sup>-</sup>( $^{4}S_{u}$ ; 2s<sup>2</sup>2p<sup>3</sup>) or Mo ( $^{\prime}S_{g}$ ;  $5s^{1}4d^{5}$ ) + C( ${}^{5}S_{u}$ ;  $2s^{1}2p^{3}$ ), there is an intense ionic character, which is corroborated by the large calculated dipole moment of about six Debye; see Table II. The high ionic character is also evident in the measured dipole moment of 6.07  $\pm$  0.18 D,<sup>12</sup> which is in good agreement with our computed value. Finally, it should be noted that Denis and Balasubramanian<sup>10</sup> also describe the bond in the ground state as polar, with an electron transfer from Mo(5s) to C(2p), which results in a  $Mo^+$ - $C^-$  ionic character, and then a back transfer from C to Mo(4d).<sup>10</sup>

To sum up, based on the leading CSF, the atomic Mulliken distributions, and the molecular orbital composition, the  $X^3\Sigma^-$  state is quadruple-bonded, i.e.,  $1\sigma^2 2\sigma^2 1\pi_x^{\ 2} 1\pi_y^{\ 2}$ , as indicated in the vbL diagram of Scheme 1 and Table III. The quadruple bond is formed by two  $\pi^2$  covalent bonds, i.e.,  $1\pi_x^{\ 2} = 4d_{x2}^{\ 1} \cdot 2p_x^{\ 1}$  and  $1\pi_y^{\ 2} = 4d_{yz}^{\ 1} \cdot 2p_y^{\ 1}$ , one  $\sigma^2$  covalent bond, i.e.,  $2\sigma^2 = 4d_{z2}^{\ 1} \cdot 2p_z^{\ 1}$ , and one  $1\sigma^2$  bond, where



**TABLE II.** Bond distances  $r_e$  (Å), adiabatic dissociation energies  $D_e$  (eV), harmonic frequencies  $\omega_e$  (cm<sup>-1</sup>), anharmonicities  $\omega_e x_e$  (cm<sup>-1</sup>), excitation energies  $T_e$  (cm<sup>-1</sup>), and dipole moments  $\mu$  (D) of the calculated states of MoC.

State	Basis Set	Method	r <sub>e</sub>	D <sub>e</sub> <sup>a</sup>	$D_e^{b}$	$D_0^{b}$	ω <sub>e</sub>	ω <sub>e</sub> x <sub>e</sub>	Te	$\langle \mu \rangle^{c}$	$\mu_{FF}^{c}$
	45700	icMRCISD/	1.679	6.195	4.801	4.737	1027.5	7.21	0	6.00	5.96
w357-	AJZPP	icMRCISD + Q	1.682	6.217	4.910	4.848	1022.2	7.29	0		5.92
	AMCEZDD	C-icMRCISD	1.670	6.337	4.792	4.727	1042.9	6.76	0	5.99	5.88
	AWC5ZPP	C-icMRCISD + Q <sup>d</sup>	1.673	6.472	4.988	4.926	1038.3	6.76	0		5.83
ΛΖ	ATTOK	icMRCISD	1.686	6.068	4.662	4.598	1016.4	7.34	0	6.00	5.96
	ATZDK	icMRCISD + Q	1.689	6.077	4.757	4.692	1010.3	7.45	0		5.91
	AMOTTON	C-icMRCISD	1.677	6.202	4.649	4.584	1034.7	7.22	0	5.98	5.87
	AWCIZDK	C-icMRCISD + Q	1.681	6.291	4.805	4.741	1028.3	7.30	0		5.81
	457DD	icMRCISD/	1.684	6.026	4.206	4.141	1030.9	6.83	4805	2.87	3.02
	AJZEF	icMRCISD + Q	1.686	5.986	4.368	4.304	1027.6	6.95	4373		3.05
	AWC57DD	C-icMRCISD	1.675	6.161	4.190	4.125	1038.9	7.08	4857	2.79	3.02
1 <sup>3</sup>	AWCJZFF	C-icMRCISD + Q <sup>d</sup>	1.677	6.224	4.504	4.440	1036.0	7.23	3900		3.12
лΔ		icMRCISD	1.689	5.874	4.106	4.042	1031.9	7.67	4485	2.89	3.01
	AIZDK	icMRCISD + Q	1.691	5.833	4.251	4.187	1027.5	7.83	4083		3.04
	AMCTZDV	C-icMRCISD	1.682	5.991	4.100	4.036	1032.0	6.84	4430	2.81	3.03
	AWCIZDK	C-icMRCISD + Q	1.685	6.006	4.363	4.299	1027.4	7.03	3565		3.11
	A5ZPP	icMRCISD/	1.668	7.114	3.972	3.905	1082	5.15	6689	5.92	5.86
$a^1\Gamma$		icMRCISD + Q	1.670	7.063	4.075	4.008	1077	5.25	6741		5.82
	AWC5ZPP	C-icMRCISD	1.662	7.218	4.032	3.965	1086	4.81	6079	5.94	5.82
		C-icMRCISD + Q <sup>d</sup>	1.664	7.231	4.246	4.179	1081	4.98	5883		5.76
$b^5\Sigma^-$	A5ZPP	icMRCISD/	1.752	3.871	3.871	3.814	925	6.10	7455	2.32	2.55
		icMRCISD + Q	1.754	4.004	4.004	3.947	923	6.11	7323		2.60
	AWC5ZPP	C-icMRCISD	1.739	3.873	3.873	3.814	951	6.80	7243	2.16	2.52
		$C-icMRCISD + Q^d$	1.739	4.121	4.121	4.062	953	6.65	6886		2.63
	A5ZPP AWC5ZPP	icMRCISD/	1.674	6.849	3.662	3.597	1041.1	5.51	9194	2.48	2.36
		icMRCISD + Q	1.676	6.909	3.894	3.829	1040.3	5.59	8199		2.50
		C-icMRCISD	1.665	6.829	3.586	3.520	1066.9	6.71	9728	2.43	2.29
$c^1 \Lambda$		C-icMRCISD + Q <sup>d</sup>	1.666	7.000	3.989	3.923	1065.5	6.78	8057		2.47
ιΔ	ATZDK	icMRCISD	1.679	6.714	3.561	3.496	1043.8	6.56	8884	2.48	2.38
		icMRCISD + Q	1.681	6.754	3.772	3.707	1042.1	6.67	7943		2.51
	AMCTZDV	C-icMRCISD	1.672	6.712	3.503	3.437	1059.9	6.46	9242	2.44	2.30
	AWCIZDK	C-icMRCISD + Q	1.674	6.835	3.851	3.785	1057.2	6.60	7695		2.49
	A5ZPP	icMRCISD/	1.672	6.785	3.623	3.686	1022.9	4.93	9507	5.92	5.94
$d^1\Sigma^+$		icMRCISD + Q	1.674	6.846	3.922	3.985	1017.1	5.01	7970		5.61
иL		C-icMRCISD	1.663	6.757	3.477	3.541	1036.9	8.64	10 559	5.93	6.04
	AWCJZII	$C-icMRCISD + Q^d$	1.664	6.942	3.915	3.979	1030.1	8.92	8586		5.65
	A5ZPP	icMRCISD/	1.816	3.445	3.445	3.393	842	5.97	10 941	2.89	3.12
<i>α</i> <sup>5</sup> Π		icMRCISD + Q	1.817	3.591	3.591	3.539	841	5.98	10 639		3.18
¢ 11	AWC5ZPP	C-icMRCISD	1.806	3.406	3.406	3.354	848	5.79	11 128	2.75	3.11
		C-icMRCISD + Q <sup>d</sup>	1.807	3.671	3.671	3.619	848	5.72	10 548		3.22

<sup>a</sup>Dissociation energies with respect to the correlated products.

<sup>b</sup>Dissociation energies with respect to the ground state products.

<sup>c</sup> (μ) refers to the expectation values and  $\mu_{\rm FF}$  refers to the finite field values.

<sup>d</sup>Our best calculated data in this table are given in bold. Our final data at the CBS limit are given in Table IV, also in bold.

significant 5s-4d<sub>z2</sub> hybridization exists; see Table III. If we consider the bond to be formed between ions,  $Mo^+({}^6S_g) + C^-({}^4S_u)$ , then the  $1\sigma^2$  bond is dative, i.e.,  $5s^0 \leftarrow 2s^2$ . Alternatively, if we consider that the bonding is formed between  $Mo({}^7S_g) + C({}^5S_u)$ , then the  $1\sigma^2$  bond

is covalent,  $5s^1 \leftarrow 2s^1$ . However, the molecular orbitals (Table III and Fig. 4) indicate that the 1 $\sigma$  orbital is mainly localized on carbon, causing the bonding to be mainly ionic, as Mo<sup>+</sup> + C<sup>-</sup>. The molecular orbitals (MOs) of the  $X^3\Sigma^-$  state are depicted in Fig. 4.



**SCHEME 1.** Valence Bond Lewis diagram of the ground  $X^3\Sigma^-$  state of MoC.

 $A^3\Delta$  and  $c^1\Delta$  states: The first excited state,  $A^3\Delta$ , dissociates to  $Mo(a^5D_g, \pm 2; 4d^45s^2) + C({}^3P_g, 0)$ , but there is an avoided crossing at about 3 Å, and as a result, at the potential energy minimum, this state corresponds to  $Mo(a^5G_g, \pm 2; 4d^55s^1) + C({}^3P_g, 0)$ . The leading equilibrium CASSCF configuration is  $|A^3\Delta\rangle = 0.93(1/\sqrt{2}|1\sigma^22\sigma^23\sigma^1(1\delta^1_+ + 1\delta^1_-)1\pi^2_x1\pi^2_y))$  and the corresponding linear combination of the atomic orbitals is given in Table III. The ensuing atomic Mulliken populations (Mo/C) at the ic/MRCISD/AVTZDK level of theory are  $5s^{0.86}5p_z^{0.18}5p_x^{0.02}5p_y^{0.02}4d^{1.20}_{z^2}4d^{1.15}_{yz}4d^{0.99}_{x^2-y^2}4d^{0.03}_{xy}/2s^{1.84}2p_z^{0.83}.$ 

The fourth excited state,  $c^1\Delta$ , has also been investigated. It dissociates to Mo  $(a^3G_g) + C$   $({}^3P_g)$ , as shown in Fig. 2. Note that for the Mo atom, the  ${}^3P_{0g}$  level is lower than the  ${}^3D_{1g}$  by 323 cm<sup>-1</sup> and is lower than the  ${}^3G_{3g}$  atomic state by 341 cm<sup>-1</sup>; however, for the *J*-averaged atomic states, the  ${}^3G_g$  term is lower than the  ${}^3D_g$  by 81 cm<sup>-1</sup> and lower than the  ${}^3P_g$  by 1236 cm<sup>-1</sup>.<sup>37</sup> The main equilibrium CASSCF configuration is  $|{}^1\Delta\rangle = 0.93(1/\sqrt{2}|1\sigma^22\sigma^23\sigma^{\dagger}(1\delta^{\downarrow}_{+}+1\delta^{\downarrow}_{-})1\pi^2_x1\pi^2_y))$  and the Mulliken populations (Mo/C) at the icMRCISD/AVTZDK level of theory are  $5s^{0.97}5p_z^{0.02}5p_y^{0.02}5p_y^{0.02}4d_{z^2}^{1.22}4d_{xz}^{1.12}4d_{x^2-y^2}^{0.98}4d_{xy}^{0.94}/2s^{1.80}2p_z^{0.86}$ .

The bonding in both  $\Delta$  states is captured by the vbL diagrams displayed in Scheme 2, based on the leading CSFs, the atomic Mulliken distributions, and the molecular orbital compositions. The bonding scheme in these two states is essentially the same, consisting of two  $\sigma^2$  and two  $\pi^2$  bonds; see Scheme 2 and Fig. 4. The MO plots of the  ${}^{1}\Delta$  state are quite similar to those of the  $A^{3}\Delta$  state. The only significant difference between them lies in the spin directions of the 5s and  $4d_{x^2-y^2}$  electrons of Mo. In the  $A^3\Delta$  state, these electrons are high-spin coupled, giving rise to a triplet state. In the  $^{1}\Delta$  state, these electrons are low-spin coupled, giving rise to an open-shell singlet state. In both states, the C atom is in its ground state, <sup>3</sup>P(0), forming two  $\pi^2$  covalent bonds,  $4d_{xz}^{-1}-2p_x^{-1}$  and  $4d_{yz}^{-1}-2p_y^{-1}$ . Furthermore, two dative  $\sigma^2$  bonds are formed, namely,  $1\sigma^2$ :  $5s4d_05p_z^0 \leftarrow 2s^2$  and  $2\sigma^2$ :  $4d_{z2}^2 \rightarrow 2p_z^0$ . About 0.2 e<sup>-</sup> are transferred from C to Mo via the  $1\sigma^2$ , where there is a  $5s4d_05p_z$  hybridization, while 0.3  $e^-$  are transferred via the two  $\pi$  bonds, and about 0.8  $e^-$  are transferred back via the  $2\sigma^2$  to the C atom. A significant reduction in the occupation of the 5s atomic orbital of Mo occurs near 3.5 Å  $[Q_{5s(Mo)} = 1.75 \rightarrow Q_{5s(Mo)}]$ = 1.22] is observed, see Fig. 3(b). This observation demonstrates that while the  $A^3\Delta$  state dissociates to an Mo atom in its second excited state (a<sup>5</sup>D g; 4d<sup>4</sup>5s<sup>2</sup>), at the potential energy minimum, the molecular state derives from the <sup>5</sup>G<sub>g</sub> (4d<sup>5</sup>5s<sup>1</sup>) atomic state due to an avoided

crossing. Furthermore, the observed  $5s4d_{z2}5p_z$  hybridization affects the depiction of a  $3\sigma$  orbital (Fig. 4 and Table III), which seems like a weak antibonding orbital. However, it does not weaken the bond and does not reduce the bond order. Note that the dissociation energies of the  $A^3\Delta$  and  $c^1\Delta$  states with respect to the adiabatic atomic products are 6.224 and 7.000 eV, i.e., similar to the corresponding value of the ground state, i.e., 6.472 eV; see Table II and discussion below. Finally, it should be noted that even though both  $\Delta$  states have the same electronic configuration and bonding, the spin plays a role in their geometry, i.e., it results in a shorter bond distance in the  $c^1\Delta$ state than in the  $A^3\Delta$  state by 0.01 Å, see Table II.

The main difference between these two  $\Delta$  states and the ground  $X^3\Sigma^-$  state is that the 5s orbital is singly occupied in the two  $\Delta$  states, while in the X state, it is nearly empty. Furthermore, the dipole moments of the  $\Delta$  states are half of the dipole moment of the X state, which has been characterized as polar; see the further discussion of dipole moments below. Finally, another issue that should be highlighted pertains to the bond multiplicity of the calculated states of MoC. In all cases, a quadruple bond comprising two  $\sigma^2$  and two  $\pi^2$  bonds is formed between Mo and C. However, it should be noted that while the  $2\sigma^2$  is clearly a covalent bond in the  $X^3\Sigma^-$  state (similar coefficient for the  $4d_{z2}$  and  $2p_z$  atomic orbitals) and a dative bond in the  $\Delta^3\Delta$  and  $^1\Delta$  states (larger coefficient for the  $4d_{z2}$  than the  $2p_z$  atomic orbital), the  $1\sigma^2$  bond is in all cases weak, with electron density mainly localized in the 2s orbital of C.

 $a^{1}\Gamma$  and  $d^{1}\Sigma^{+}$  states: Both excited states dissociate to Mo( $a^3G_g$ , ±4; 4 $d^55s^1$ ) + C( $^3P_g$ , 0), while there is an avoided crossing at about 3.5 Å for both the states. As a result, at the equilibrium position, their electronic structure derives from  $Mo^+(a^4G_g, \pm 4; 4d^5) + C^-(^4S_u)$ , i.e., the states are formed between ions. The leading equilibrium CASSCF configurations are  $|\alpha^{1}\Gamma\rangle = 0.94(|1\sigma^{2}2\sigma^{2}1\pi_{x}^{2}1\pi_{y}^{2}(1\delta_{+}^{1}1\delta_{-}^{1}+1/\sqrt{2}(1\delta_{+}^{2}-1\delta_{-}^{2}))\rangle)$ and  $|d^1\Sigma^+\rangle = 0.68|1\sigma^2 2\sigma^2 1\pi_x^2 1\pi_y^2 (1\delta_+^2 + 1\delta_-^2)\rangle$ . The contributions of the atomic orbitals to the MOs are given in Table III. The resulting atomic Mulliken populations (Mo/C) of the  $a^{1}\Gamma$  state are  $5s^{0.17}5p_{z}^{0.10}5p_{x}^{0.01}5p_{y}^{0.01}4d_{z^{2}}^{1.28}4d_{xz}^{1.07}4d_{yz}^{1.07}4d_{x^{2}-y^{2}}^{1.00}4d_{xy}^{1.00}/2s^{1.73}2p_{z}^{0.71}2p_{x}^{0.90}$  $2p_v^{0.90}$  at the icMRCISD/AVTZDK level of theory. The bonding is depicted in Scheme 3, where both A1 and A2 components are presented. A quadruple bond  $\sigma^2 \sigma^2 \pi^2 \pi^2$  is formed, i.e., two covalent  $\pi^2$  bonds:  $4d_{xz}^{1-}2p_x^{1-}$  and  $4d_{yz}^{1-}2p_y^{1-}$ , one covalent  $\sigma^2$ bond:  $4d_{z2}^{1}-2p_{z}^{1}$ , and one dative  $\sigma^{2}$  bond:  $5s5p_{z}^{0} \leftarrow 2s^{2}$ . About 0.2 e<sup>-</sup> are transferred via the  $\pi$  bonds and about 0.5 e<sup>-</sup> via the  $\sigma^2$ bonds from  $C^-$  to  $Mo^+$ . Overall, the carbon atom is negatively charged at the equilibrium position, -0.36 e, while in the 2.7-3.0 Å range where the bonds have not completely formed, the charge is about -0.6 e. It should be mentioned that the  $d^{1}\Sigma^{+}$  state presents almost the same charges and the same bonding with the  $a^{1}\Gamma$ . Both states have the shortest bond length at 1.664 Å at the C-icMRCISD + Q/AWC5ZPP level, i.e., 0.009 Å shorter than the X state; see Table II. Overall, both states are very similar to the ground  $X^{3}\Sigma^{-}$  state; however, the 1 $\delta$  electrons are coupled to give low spin (S = 0).

**b**<sup>5</sup>Σ<sup>-</sup> and **e**<sup>5</sup>Π states: The third and sixth excited states, **b**<sup>5</sup>Σ<sup>-</sup> and **e**<sup>5</sup>Π, dissociate to ground state atoms, Mo (<sup>7</sup>S<sub>g</sub>) + C (<sup>3</sup>P<sub>g</sub>), as shown in Fig. 2. Both states keep this character in equilibrium. Their main equilibrium CASSCF configurations are  $|b^5\Sigma^-\rangle = 0.92|1\sigma^22\sigma^13\sigma^11\pi_x^21\pi_v^21\delta_+^11\delta_-^1\rangle$  and

State	Molecular orbital	Main atomic orbitals
	$1\sigma^2$	$0.88\varphi_{2s(C)} + 0.37\varphi_{4d_{z^2}(Mo)} + 0.20\varphi_{5s(Mo)} - 0.16\varphi_{2p_z(C)}$
	$2\sigma^2$	$0.68\varphi_{4d_{z^2}(Mo)} - 0.62\varphi_{2p_z(C)} - 0.22\varphi_{2s(C)}$
$X^{3}\Sigma^{-}$	$1\delta^{I}_{+}$	$1.00 \varphi_{4d_{x^2-y^2}(Mo)}$
	$1\pi_x^2$	$0.64\varphi_{4d_{xz}(Mo)} + 0.59\varphi_{2p_x(C)}$
	$1\pi_y^2$	$0.64\varphi_{4d_{yz}(Mo)} + 0.59\varphi_{2p_y(C)}$
	$1\delta_{-}^{1}$	$1.00 \varphi_{4\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{xy}}(\mathrm{Mo})}$
	$1\sigma^2$	$0.92\varphi_{2s(C)} + 0.29\varphi_{5s(Mo)} + 0.26\varphi_{4d_{z^2}(Mo)} - 0.17\varphi_{4p_z(Mo)}$
	$2\sigma^2$	$0.82\varphi_{4d_{z^2}(Mo)} - 0.67\varphi_{2p_z(C)} + 0.21 \varphi_{5s(Mo)}$
$A^{3}\Delta$	$3\sigma^1$	$0.94\varphi_{5s(Mo)} - 0.17\varphi_{2p_z(C)} - 0.14\varphi_{2s(C)}$
	$1/\sqrt{2} 1\delta_{+}^{1}+1\delta_{-}^{1}\rangle$	$1/\sqrt{2}   1.00 \varphi_{4d_{x^2-y^2}(Mo)} + 1.00 \varphi_{4d_{xy}(Mo)} \rangle$
	$1\pi_x^2$	$0.74\varphi_{4d_{xz}(Mo)} + 0.56\varphi_{2p_x(C)}$
	$1\pi_y^2$	$0.74\varphi_{4d_{yz}(Mo)} + 0.56\varphi_{2p_y(C)}$
	$1\sigma^2$	$0.89\varphi_{2n}(c) + 0.37\varphi_{4d_{2n}}(M_0) - 0.22\varphi_{4p_{2n}}(M_0) + 0.20\varphi_{5n}(M_0)$
	$2\sigma^2$	$-0.68\varphi_{\text{4d}_2}(M_0) + 0.62\varphi_{\text{2p}_1(C)} + 0.25\varphi_{\text{6c}(C)} + 0.21\varphi_{\text{2c}(C)}$
$a^1\Gamma$	$1\delta^1_+$	$0.99\varphi_{4d_{2}-2}(Mo)$
	$\pi_x^2$	$0.65\varphi_{4d_{vr}(Mo)} + 0.59\varphi_{2D_{v}(C)} - 0.11\varphi_{4D_{v}(Mo)}$
	$\pi_y^2$	$0.65\varphi_{4d_{vz}(Mo)} + 0.59\varphi_{2p_v(C)} - 0.11\varphi_{4p_v(Mo)}$
	$1\delta_{-}^{1}$	$0.99 \varphi_{\mathrm{4d}_{\mathrm{xy}}(\mathrm{Mo})}$
	$1\sigma^2$	$0.90\varphi_{2s(C)} + 0.28\varphi_{4d_2}(M_0) - 0.28\varphi_{4p_2}(M_0) + 0.15\varphi_{5s(M_0)}$
	$\sigma^1$	$0.74\varphi_{2p_{c}(C)} - 0.47\varphi_{4d_{2}(Mo)} - 0.47\varphi_{5s(Mo)} + 0.31\varphi_{2s(C)}$
1.55-	$3\sigma^1$	$0.78\varphi_{5s(Mo)} - 0.51\varphi_{4d_2(Mo)} - 0.19\varphi_{5p_z(Mo)} + 0.11\varphi_{2p_z(C)}$
b 2	$1\delta^1_+$	$0.99\varphi_{4d_{x^2-y^2}(Mo)}$
	$\pi_{\mathrm{x}}^2$	$0.63\varphi_{4d_{xz}(Mo)} + 0.62\varphi_{2p_{x}(C)} - 0.10\varphi_{4p_{x}(Mo)}$
	$\pi_y^2$	$0.63\varphi_{4d_{yz}(Mo)} + 0.62\varphi_{2p_v(C)} - 0.10\varphi_{4p_v(Mo)}$
	$1\delta_{-}^{1}$	$0.99 arphi_{ m 4d_{xy}(Mo)}$
	$1\sigma^2$	$0.92\varphi_{2s(C)} + 0.34\varphi_{5s(M_0)} + 0.32\varphi_{4d_2}(M_0) - 0.17\varphi_{4p_2}(M_0)$
	$2\sigma^2$	$-0.82\varphi_{4d_{2}}(M_{0}) + 0.63\varphi_{2p_{z}}(C) + 0.16\varphi_{5p_{z}}(M_{0})$
$c^1\Delta$	$3\sigma^1$	$0.89\varphi_{5s(Mo)} - 0.42\varphi_{5p_z(Mo)} - 0.26\varphi_{2p_z(C)}$
	$1/\sqrt{2} 1\delta_+^1+1\delta^1\rangle$	$1/\sqrt{2}   1.00 \varphi_{4d_{x^2-y^2}(Mo)} + 1.00 \varphi_{4d_{xy}(Mo)} \rangle$
	$1\pi_x^2$	$0.73\varphi_{4d_{xz}(Mo)} + 0.58\varphi_{2p_x(C)}$
	$1\pi_y^2$	$0.73\varphi_{4d_{yz}(Mo)} + 0.58\varphi_{2p_y(C)}$
	$1\sigma^2$	$0.89\varphi_{2s(C)} + 0.19\varphi_{5s(Mo)} + 0.36\varphi_{4d_2(Mo)} - 0.22\varphi_{4p_a(Mo)}$
$d^1\Sigma^+$	$2\sigma^2$	$0.69\varphi_{4d_{z^2}(Mo)} - 0.62\varphi_{2p_z(C)} - 0.25\varphi_{5p_z(Mo)}$
u Z	$1/\sqrt{2} 1\delta_{+}^{2}+1\delta_{-}^{2}\rangle$	$1/\sqrt{2} \Big  1.00 \varphi_{4d_{x^2-y^2}(Mo)} + 1.00 \varphi_{4d_{xy}(Mo)} \Big\rangle$
	$1\pi_x^2$	$0.65\varphi_{4d_{xx}(Mo)} + 0.59\varphi_{2p_x(C)}$
	$1\pi_y^2$	$0.65\varphi_{4d_{yz}(Mo)} + 0.59\varphi_{2p_{y}(C)}$

TABLE III. Molecular orbitals, including valence electrons, in the minimum of the calculated states of the MoC molecule at C-icMRCISD/AWC5Z(PP).

State	Molecular orbital	Main atomic orbitals
	$1\sigma^2$	$0.94\varphi_{2s(C)} - 0.20\varphi_{4p_z(Mo)} + 0.17\varphi_{4d_2(Mo)}$
	$2\sigma^2$	$0.71\varphi_{2p_{a}(C)} - 0.66\varphi_{4d_{2}(Mo)} + 0.21\varphi_{6s(C)} - 0.19\varphi_{5s(Mo)}$
5	$3\sigma^1$	$0.91\varphi_{5s(Mo)} - 0.33\varphi_{4d_2(Mo)} - 0.18\varphi_{5p_s(Mo)}$
e 11	$1\delta^1_+$	$0.99\varphi_{4d_{2}}$ (Mo)
	$\pi_{\rm x}^2$	$0.65\varphi_{4d_{yz}(Mo)} + 0.59\varphi_{2p_{z}(C)}$
	$\pi_y^1$	$0.65\varphi_{4d_{vz}(Mo)} + 0.59\varphi_{2p_v(C)}$
	$1\delta_{-}^{1}$	$0.99\varphi_{4d_{yy}(Mo)}$





 $1\pi v^2$ 

 $^{1}\Delta$ 



 $[(5s4d_z 25p_z)...(2s)]^2$  $1\sigma^2$ 





 $3\sigma^1$ 

**FIG. 4.** MOs of the  $X^3\Sigma^-$  and  $A^3\Delta$  states. The atomic orbitals with the main contribution are given for each MO.

 $[(4d_z^2)-(2p_z)]^2$ 

 $2\sigma^2$ 

 $1\delta_+^1$ 



Mo ( $a^{5}G; M_{L} = \pm 2$ ) + C ( ${}^{3}P; M_{L} = 0$ )  $A^{3}\Delta$ 



Mo ( $a^{3}G$ ; M<sub>1</sub> = ±2) + C ( $^{3}P$ ; M<sub>1</sub> = 0)

**SCHEME 2.** Valence Bond Lewis diagrams of the  $A^3 \Delta$  and  $c^1 \Delta$  states of MoC for the A1 component. The A2 component has the 4dxy orbital single occupied instead of 4d<sub>z2-y2</sub>.

 $|e^{5}\Pi\rangle = 0.92(1/\sqrt{2}|1\sigma^{2}2\sigma^{2}3\sigma^{1}(1\pi_{x}^{2}1\pi_{y}^{1}+1\pi_{x}^{1}1\pi_{y}^{2})1\delta_{+}^{1}1\delta_{-}^{1}).$  The bonding is depicted in Scheme 4. Two and a half bonds are formed in both states, i.e.,  $\sigma^1 \pi^2 \pi^2 (b^5 \Sigma^-)$  and  $\sigma^2 \pi^2 \pi^1$  $(e^5\Pi)$ . The Mulliken populations (Mo/C) at the icMRCISD/ AVTZDK level of theory are as follows:  $b^5\Sigma^-$ :  $5s^{0.85}5p_z^{0.11}5p_x^{0.02}5p_y^{0.02}4d_{z^2}^{0.77}4d_{xz}^{0.99}4d_{yz}^{0.99}4d_{x^2-y^2}^{0.99}4d_{xy}^{0.99}/2s^{1.64}2p_z^{0.62}2p_x^{0.99}$  $2p_y^{0.96}$  and  $e^5\Pi$ :  $5s^{0.83}5p_z^{0.10}5p_x^{0.05}5p_y^{0.02}4d_{z^2}^{1.11}4d_{xz}^{1.01}4d_{yz}^{0.58}4d_{x^2-y^2}^{0.99}4d_{xy}^{0.99}/$  $2s^{1.89}2p_z^{1.00}2p_x^{0.94}2p_y^{0.41}$ . Overall, 0.3 e<sup>-</sup> are transferred from Mo to the C atom. Note that there is a 5s4d<sub>0</sub>5p<sub>z</sub> hybridization in Mo and a  $2s2p_z$  in C. In these states, there is a weak interaction between the  $2s^2$  orbital and the  $5s4d_05p_z$  hybrid orbital on Mo, but the donation of 2s<sup>2</sup> electrons to Mo via this orbital is counteracted by partial electron transfer back to carbon through the  $\sigma$  frame, via the  $2\sigma^1$  electron in the  $b^5\Sigma^-$  term and the  $2\sigma^2$  electrons in the  $e^5\Pi$ term. The weak bonding interaction of the  $1\sigma^2$  electrons in these states is not sufficient to consider that they form a bond. Finally, it should be noted that even though the  $a^{1}\Gamma$  state is formed from ions, Mo<sup>+</sup>( $a^4G_g$ , ±4; 4d<sup>5</sup>) + C<sup>-</sup>( $^4S_u$ ), while the  $b^5\Sigma^-$  and  $e^5\Pi$  states result from the atomic ground state products, Mo  $(^{7}S_{g}) + C (^{3}P_{g})$ , the carbon in equilibrium has a charge of about -0.3 e due to the electron charge transfer in the bonds.



**SCHEME 3.** Valence Bond Lewis diagrams of the  $a^{1}\Gamma$  and  $d^{1}\Sigma^{+}$  states of MoC.

#### B. Dissociation energies and geometry

As shown in Table II, the correlation of the 4s<sup>2</sup>4p<sup>6</sup> electrons of Mo and the 1s<sup>2</sup> electrons of C reduces the Mo–C bond distance by 0.009 Å and increases the dissociation energy with respect to the adiabatic atomic products by up to 0.25 eV. For the ground state,  $X^{3}\Sigma^{-}$ , at our best methodology, *i.e.*, C-icMRCISD(+Q)/AWCV5Z(PP), the Mo–C bond distance is calculated at 1.673 Å, and the dissociation energy with respect to the adiabatic products, Mo (<sup>5</sup>S<sub>g</sub>) + C (<sup>3</sup>P<sub>g</sub>), is 6.472 eV, while with respect to the atomic ground states, Mo (<sup>7</sup>S<sub>g</sub>) + C (<sup>3</sup>P<sub>g</sub>), is 4.988 eV. The  $A^{3}\Delta$ ,  $a^{1}\Gamma$ ,  $c^{1}\Delta$ , and  $d^{1}\Sigma^{+}$  states have similar bond distances as the X state, i.e., 1.677, 1.664, 1.666, and 1.664 Å,



SCHEME 4. Valence Bond Lewis diagrams of the  $b^5\Sigma^-$  and  $e^5\Pi$  states of the MoC molecule.

respectively. They lie 0.48, 0.73, 1.00, and 1.06 eV above the ground state, respectively, and their dissociation energies with respect to the adiabatic atomic products are 6.224, 7.231, 7.000, and 6.942 eV at the C-icMRCISD(+Q)/AWCV5Z(PP) level of theory, while the corresponding dissociation energy of the ground state is 6.472 eV. These large dissociation energies justify the assignment of quadruple bonding in these states. Finally, the  $b^5\Sigma^-(\sigma^1\pi^2\pi^2)$  and  $e^5\Pi(\sigma^2\pi^2\pi^1)$  states that correlate to the atomic ground state products, Mo (<sup>7</sup>S) + C (<sup>3</sup>P), have dissociation energies of 4.121 and 3.671 eV and bond lengths of 1.739 and 1.807 Å, respectively. In these states, a bonding  $2\sigma$  or  $1\pi$  electron has been promoted to the nonbonding  $3\sigma$  orbital, significantly increasing the bond length and reducing the adiabatic bond dissociation energy.

For the ground state, the CBS limits of the Mo–C bond distance and dissociation energy with respect to the atomic ground state products were calculated via two approaches, i.e., (I) the quantities are calculated in a series of basis sets and then these values are extrapolated, and (II) the total energies are extrapolated to the CBS limit, and then the spectroscopic constants are defined by the extrapolated CBS PEC, using either the mixed Gaussian/exponential scheme (1) or the power function extrapolation scheme (2). Thus, the CBS limits are  $R_e = 1.670$  Å and  $D_e$  ( $D_0$ ) = 5.07(5.01) eV, as provided in Table IV. Taking into account the correlation energy difference between the DK method and the relativistic pseudopotential, our final  $D_e$  ( $D_0$ ) values are 5.13(5.06) eV. Experimentally, a thermochemical value of  $D_0 = 4.95 \pm 0.17$  eV was obtained in 1981 using the Knudsen effusion mass spectrometric method.<sup>5</sup> Here, we report a predissociation-based measurement that greatly reduces the

**TABLE IV.** Bond distances  $r_e$  (Å), dissociation energies  $D_e$  and  $D_0$  (eV) with respect to the ground state atomic products, harmonic frequencies  $\omega_e$  (cm<sup>-1</sup>) of the ground state,  $X^3\Sigma^-$ , of MoC at the C-icMRCISD and C-icMRCISD + Q/aug-cc-pwCVnZ(-PP), n = D(2), T(3), Q(4), and five levels of theory and the corresponding CBS limits with different approaches.

	r <sub>e</sub>	De	D <sub>0</sub>	ω <sub>e</sub>	r <sub>e</sub>	De	D <sub>0</sub>	ω <sub>e</sub>	
	C-icMRCISD			C-icMRCISD + Q					
AWCDZ(PP)	1.701	4.144	4.081	1015	1.706	4.254	4.192	1007	
AWCTZ(PP)	1.677	4.572	4.508	1024	1.680	4.753	4.690	1019	
AWCQZ(PP)	1.672	4.719	4.655	1032	1.674	4.921	4.857	1028	
AWC5Z(PP)	1.669	4.791	4.726	1043	1.672	4.988	4.923	1038	
CBS [I; 1] <sup>a</sup>	1.667	4.833	4.768		1.671	5.027	4.961		
CBS [II; 1] <sup>a</sup>	1.667	4.834	4.770		1.671	5.027	4.963		
CBS [I; 2] <sup>a</sup>	1.666	4.938	4.866		1.670	5.075	5.007		
CBS [II; 2] <sup>a</sup>	1.666	4.913	4.849		1.670	5.073	5.009		
AWCTZDK	1.677	4.649	4.584	1035	1.681	4.805	4.741	1028.3	
Diff <sup>b</sup>	0.001	0.077	0.076		0.001	0.052	0.051		
CBS <sup>c</sup>	1.667	5.02	4.94		1.671	5.13	5.06		
Expt.					1.6760 <sup>d</sup>		$4.95 \pm 0.17^{e}$		
Expt.							$5.136\pm0.003^{f}$		

<sup>a</sup>1: Mixed Gaussian/exponential form (1); 2: power function extrapolation scheme (2); I: approach I and II: approach II (computational details);  $r_e$ : error bars <±0.001 Å; and  $D_e$  or  $D_0$ : error bars <±0.01 eV.

<sup>b</sup>Correlation energy difference between C-icMRCISD(+Q)/aug-cc-pwCVTZ(-PP) and C-icMRCISD(+Q)/aug-cc-pwCVTZ-DK. <sup>c</sup>CBS limits, including the correlation energy difference between DK and relativistic pseudopotential. Our best data are given in bold.

 $^{d}r_{0}$  value from Ref. 7.

<sup>e</sup>Reference 5.

<sup>f</sup>Present study.

error limit, providing  $D_0(MoC) = 5.136 \pm 0.003$  eV (Fig. 1). This is in excellent agreement with our calculated value, which underestimates the experimental value by only 0.07 eV.

The PECs of the seven calculated states are depicted in Fig. 2. For the sake of completeness, the ionic asymptote has also been plotted based on the calculations performed for the ionization potential of Mo and the electron affinity of C. The energy difference,  $\Delta E^{i}$ , between the ground state asymptote and the ionic asymptote is equal to I.P. (Mo) – E.A. (C), i.e., 5.789 eV (= $\Delta E^1$  in Fig. 2). More specifically, the ionization potential of Mo was calculated to be 6.981 eV, while the electron affinity of C was computed to be 1.192 eV. These theoretical values agree well with the corresponding experimental ones, *i.e.*, 7.092 43(4) eV<sup>37</sup> and 1.262 114(44) eV,<sup>37</sup> respectively. In addition, the  $Mo(^7S_g) + C(^5S_u)$  asymptote has also been plotted. The excitation energy of C ( ${}^{5}S_{u} \leftarrow {}^{3}P_{g}$ ) is calculated at 4.184 eV at C-iCMRCISD/AWC5Z, in excellent agreement with the experimental value of 4.1826 eV.<sup>37</sup> Thus, for the  $X^3\Sigma^-$  state, the calculated dissociation energy, De, at the CBS limits is as follows: (i) 5.13 eV with respect to the atomic ground state products,  $Mo(^{7}S_{g}) + C(^{3}P_{g})$ ; (ii) 6.61 eV with respect to the adiabatic products,  $Mo({}^{5}S_{g}) + C({}^{3}P_{g})$ ; and (iii) with respect to the states of the atoms in the molecule at the equilibrium position is 9.31 eV [considering the atomic states  $Mo(^{7}S_{g}) + C(^{5}S_{u})$ ] or 10.92 eV [with respect to the ionic products,  $Mo^+({}^6S_g) + C^-({}^4S_u)$  since only 0.2 e<sup>-</sup> of the  $1\sigma^2$  bond is on Mo].

Finally, the spin-orbit coupling (SOC) has been calculated. The relative icMRCISD energy differences at various basis sets and the

SOC have also been included; see Fig. 5. The excited electronic states  $A^3\Delta_1$ ,  $A^3\Delta_2$ ,  $A^3\Delta_3$ ,  $a^1\Gamma_4$ ,  $b^5\Sigma_2^-$ , and  $c^1\Delta_2$  are calculated to lie at 3334, 3899, 4398, 5883, 6886, and 8057 cm<sup>-1</sup>, respectively, in excellent agreement with the available experimental values of DaBell *et al.*,<sup>9</sup> 4002.5 cm<sup>-1</sup> ( $A^3\Delta_2$ ) and 7834 cm<sup>-1</sup> ( $d^1\Delta_2$ ), respectively, see Fig. 5.



FIG. 5. Relative icMRCISD+Q energy differences at various basis sets. Spin–orbit coupling corrections have been included.

#### C. Dipole moment values

The dipole moment values have been calculated as expectation values via the finite field method. Both methods predict similar values; see Table I. The largest differences are obtained for the  ${}^{5}\Sigma^{-}$ state, with differences up to 0.4 D (C-MRCISD/AWC5ZPP) between expectation values and the finite field result. We consider our best results to be the expectation values<sup>38</sup> at the C-MRCISD/AWCV5Z (-PP) level. Thus, our final values are 5.88 D ( $X^{3}\Sigma^{-}$ ), 3.02 D ( $A^{3}\Delta$ ), 5.82 D ( $a^{1}\Gamma$ ), 2.52 D ( $b^{5}\Sigma^{-}$ ), 2.29 D ( $c^{1}\Delta$ ), 5.93 D ( $d^{1}\Sigma^{+}$ ), and 3.11 D  $(e^{5}\Pi)$ . The large value in the X state is in accord with its polar character and matches quite well with the experimental value of  $6.07 \pm 0.18$  D.<sup>12</sup> It should be noted that the ground  $X^3 \Sigma^-$  state of the isovalent CrC molecule also has a large dipole moment of 6.76 D,<sup>39</sup> while its  $A^3\Delta$  state has a significantly smaller value, 2.85 D, similar to MoC. In our previous paper on MoS,<sup>40</sup> we noted that electronic states that leave the 5s-like  $3\sigma$  orbital unoccupied have by far the greatest dipole moments, in the range of 5-7 D. In contrast, occupation of the  $3\sigma$  orbital by one electron reduces the dipole moment to a value of 2.5-5 D, and double occupation of this orbital reduces the dipole moment further to 1.5-2.5 D. We find much the same result in the MoC molecule, with the states where the  $3\sigma$  orbital is empty  $(X^3\Sigma^-, a^1\Gamma, \text{ and } d^1\Sigma^+)$  having dipole moments near 6 D while the states where the  $3\sigma$  orbital is singly occupied ( $A^{3}\Delta, b^{5}\Sigma^{-}$ ,  $c^{1}\Delta$ , and  $e^{5}\Pi$ ) have dipoles in the range of 2-3D. The occupation of the more diffuse  $3\sigma$  orbital, which has significant electron density on the opposite side of the molecule from the negatively charged carbon atom, is quite effective in canceling out much of the dipole moment of the molecule.

#### VI. CONCLUSIONS

The formation of quadruple bonds in diatomic molecules, including second row atoms, is not very common. Here, it is found that five low-lying states of the MoC molecule, i.e.,  $X^3\Sigma^-$ ,  $A^3\Delta$ ,  $a^1\Gamma$ ,  $c^{1}\Delta$ , and  $d^{1}\Sigma^{+}$ , form quadruple bonds,  $\sigma^{2}\sigma^{2}\pi^{2}\pi^{2}$ , while the  $b^{5}\Sigma^{-}$  and  $e^5\Pi$  that result from the atoms in their ground states form  $\sigma^1 \pi^2 \pi^2$ and  $\sigma^2 \pi^2 \pi^1$  bonds, respectively. All states have been examined meticulously using multireference configuration interaction methods in conjunction with a series of basis sets. Relativistic scalar effects and spin-orbit interactions have also been calculated. We also report an experimental measurement of the bond dissociation energy (BDE) of the MoC molecule by identifying the onset of predissociation in the vibronic quasicontinuum using resonant two-photon ionization (R2PI) spectroscopy. This shifts the previous value, obtained by Knudsen effusion mass spectrometry, higher by 0.19 eV and reduces its error limit by a factor of 50, providing the most accurately measured value for the MoC BDE.

The  $X^3\Sigma^-$ ,  $A^3\Delta$ ,  $a^1\Gamma$ , and  $d^1\Sigma^+$  states present avoided crossings. The  $X^3\Sigma^-$  state dissociates to Mo ( ${}^5S_g$ ) + C ( ${}^3P_g$ ), but there is an avoided curve crossing at about 3.5 Å, and the *in situ* atomic states that form the molecular ground state are Mo<sup>+</sup>( ${}^6S_g$ ) + C<sup>-</sup>( ${}^4S_u$ ) or Mo( ${}^7S_g$ ) + C( ${}^5S_u$ ) with the formation of a strongly polarized 1 $\sigma^2$ bond. The dissociation energies of the  $X^3\Sigma^- A^3\Delta$ ,  $a^1\Gamma$ ,  $c^1\Delta$ , and  $d^1\Sigma^+$ states, which present a  $\sigma^2\sigma^2\pi^2\pi^2$  bond, with respect to their adiabatic products are 6.472, 6.224, 7.231, 7.000, and 6.942 eV at the C-icMRCISD(+Q)/AWCV5Z(PP) level of theory. These states also have very similar bond distances, ranging from 1.664 to 1.677 Å. Their large dissociation energies are a consequence of the quadruple bonding of the states. On the contrary, the  $b^5\Sigma^-$  ( $\sigma^1\pi^2\pi^2$ ) and  $d^5\Pi$  ( $\sigma^2\pi^2\pi^1$ ) states that correlate to the atomic ground state products, Mo ( $^7S_g$ ) + C ( $^3P_g$ ), have dissociation energies of 4.121 and 3.671 eV and bond lengths of 1.739 and 1.807 Å, respectively.

Spin-orbit coupling calculations have been performed for the first time. The  $A^3\Delta_2$  electronic state is found to lie at 3899 cm<sup>-1</sup>, while the  $c^1\Delta_2$  electronic state is at 8057 cm<sup>-1</sup>, in very good agreement with the experimental values of DaBell *et al.*<sup>9</sup>, 4002.5 and 7834 cm<sup>-1</sup>, respectively. The  $1^3\Delta_1$  and  $1^3\Delta_3$  states are calculated to lie at 3334 and 4398 cm<sup>-1</sup>, respectively.

Finally, the complete basis set limit of the calculated dissociation energy with respect to the atomic ground state products,  $Mo(^7S_g) + C(^3P_g)$ , including corrections for scalar relativistic effects, is  $D_e(D_0) = 5.13(5.06)$  eV, in excellent agreement with our  $D_0$  experimental value of 5.136(5) eV. Furthermore, the calculated dissociation energy,  $D_e$ , at the CBS limit with respect to the adiabatic products,  $Mo(^5S_g) + C(^3P_g)$ , is 6.61 eV, while with respect to the ionic products,  $Mo^+(^6S_g) + C^-(^5S_u)$  is 10.92 eV. The calculated bond distance ( $r_e$ ) is 1.670 Å, in good agreement with the value of  $r_0 = 1.6760$  Å reported by Brugh *et al.*<sup>7</sup> via rotationally resolved spectroscopy.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

The supplementary material provides absolute minimum energies for the  $X^3\Sigma^-$ ,  $A^3\Delta$ ,  $a^1\Gamma$ ,  $b^5\Sigma^-$ ,  $c^1\Delta$ , and  $d^5\Pi$  states of MoC, along with depictions of the MOs of the  $X^3\Sigma^-$  ground state at internuclear separations of 3.5 and 4.0 Å. In addition, included is an Excel file that provides the spectra displayed in Fig. 1.

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

M.D.M. thanks the National Science Foundation for supporting this research under Grant No. CHE-2305293.

#### AUTHOR DECLARATIONS

#### **Conflict of Interest**

The authors have no conflicts to disclose.

#### **Author Contributions**

Alexandros Androutsopoulos: Data curation (lead); Formal analysis (equal); Investigation (lead); Visualization (lead); Writing – original draft (lead). Demeter Tzeli: Conceptualization (lead); Data curation (equal); Formal analysis (lead); Investigation (lead); Methodology (lead); Project administration (lead); Resources (lead); Supervision (lead); Validation (lead); Visualization (lead); Writing – original draft (lead); Writing – review & editing (lead). Kimberly H. Tomchak: Conceptualization (equal); Data curation (lead); Investigation (lead): Methodology (equal); Writing – original draft (lead). Michael D. Morse: Conceptualization (lead); Data curation (equal); Funding acquisition (lead); Investigation (lead); Methodology (lead); Project administration (lead); Resources (lead); Supervision (lead); Validation (lead); Writing – original draft (lead); Writing – review & editing (lead). 18 June 2024 14:04:22

#### DATA AVAILABILITY

The data that support the findings of this study are available within the article and its supplementary material.

#### REFERENCES

<sup>1</sup>J. F. Harrison, "Electronic structure of diatomic molecules composed of a firstrow transition metal and main-group Element (H–F)," Chem. Rev. **100**, 679–716 (2000).

<sup>2</sup>D. Tzeli and A. Mavridis, "Electronic structure and bonding of the 3d transition metal borides, MB, M = Sc, Ti, V, Cr, Mn, Fe, Co, Ni, and Cu through all electron *ab initio* calculations," J. Chem. Phys. **128**, 034309 (2008).

<sup>3</sup>G. Schwarz, R. Mendel, and M. Ribbe, "Molybdenum cofactors, enzymes and pathways," Nature **460**, 839–847 (2009).

<sup>4</sup>Y. Deng, Y. Ge, M. Xu, Q. Yu, D. Xiao, S. Yao, and D. Ma, "Molybdenum carbide: Controlling the geometric and electronic structure of noble metals for the activation of O–H and C–H bonds," Acc. Chem. Res. **52**, 3372–3383 (2019).

<sup>5</sup>S. K. Gupta and K. A. Gingerich, "Mass spectrometric study of the stabilities of gaseous carbides of vanadium, niobium, and molybdenum," J. Chem. Phys. 74, 3584–3590 (1981).

<sup>6</sup>I. Shim and K. A. Gingerich, "Electronic states and nature of bonding in the molecule MoC by all electron *ab initio* calculations," J. Chem. Phys. **106**, 8093–8100 (1997).

<sup>7</sup>D. J. Brugh, T. J. Ronningen, and M. D. Morse, "First spectroscopic investigation of the 4d transition metal monocarbide MoC," J. Chem. Phys. **109**, 7851–7862 (1998).

<sup>8</sup>X. Li, S. Liu, W. Chen, and L. S. Wang, "The electronic structure of MoC and WC by anion photoelectron spectroscopy," J. Chem. Phys. **111**, 2464–2469 (1999).

<sup>9</sup>R. S. DaBell, R. G. Meyer, and M. D. Morse, "Electronic structure of the 4d transition metal carbides: Dispersed fluorescence spectroscopy of MoC, RuC, and PdC," J. Chem. Phys. **114**, 2938–2954 (2001).

<sup>10</sup> P. A. Denis and K. Balasubramanian, "Electronic states and potential energy curves of molybdenum carbide and its ions," J. Chem. Phys. **125**, 024306 (2006).

<sup>11</sup>F. Stevens, I. Carmichael, F. Callens, and M. Waroquier, "Density functional investigation of high-spin XY (X = Cr, Mo, W and Y = C, N, O) molecules," J. Phys. Chem. A **110**, 4846–4853 (2006).

<sup>12</sup>H. Wang, W. L. Virgo, J. Chen, and T. C. Steimle, "Permanent electric dipole moment of molybdenum carbide," J. Chem. Phys. **127**, 124302/1–124302/6 (2007).

<sup>13</sup>Q.-Y. Liu, L. Hu, Z.-Y. Li, C.-G. Ning, J.-B. Ma, H. Chen, and S.-G. He, "Photoelectron imaging spectroscopy of MoC- and NbN- diatomic anions: A comparative study," J. Chem. Phys. **142**, 164301 (2015).

<sup>14</sup>D. Tzeli and I. N. Karapetsas, "Quadruple bonding in the ground and low-lying excited states of the diatomic molecules TcN, RuC, RhB, and PdBe," J. Phys. Chem. A **124**, 6667–6681 (2020).

<sup>15</sup>D. Tzeli, "Quadruple chemical bonding in the diatomic anions TcN<sup>-</sup>, RuC<sup>-</sup>, RhB<sup>-</sup>, and PdBe<sup>-</sup>," J. Comput. Chem. **42**, 1126–1137 (2021).

<sup>16</sup>K. A. Peterson, D. Figgen, M. Dolg, and H. Stoll, "Energy-consistent relativistic pseudopotentials and correlation consistent basis sets for the 4d elements Y-Pd," J. Chem. Phys. **126**, 124101 (2007); K. A. Peterson and T. H. Dunning, "Accurate correlation consistent basis sets for molecular core-valence correlation effects: The second row atoms Al–Ar, and the first row atoms B–Ne revisited," *ibid.* **117**, 10548–10560 (2002).

<sup>17</sup>B. P. Prascher, D. E. Woon, K. A. Peterson, T. H. Dunning, Jr, and A. K. Wilson, "Gaussian basis sets for use in correlated molecular calculations. VII. Valence, core-valence, and scalar relativistic basis sets for Li, Be, Na, and Mg," Theor. Chem. Acc. **128**, 69–82 (2011).

<sup>18</sup>R. A. Kendall, T. H. Dunning, and R. J. Harrison, "Electron affinities of the first-row atoms revisited. Systematic basis sets and wave functions," J. Chem. Phys. 96, 6796–6806 (1992). <sup>19</sup>M. Douglas and N. M. Kroll, "Quantum electrodynamical corrections to the fine structure of helium," Ann. Phys. **82**, 89–155 (1974); B. A. Hess, "Applicability of the no-pair equation with free-particle projection operators to atomic and molecular structure calculations," Phys. Rev. A **32**, 756 (1985); G. Jansen and B. A. Hess, "Revision of the Douglas-Kroll transformation," *ibid.* **39**, 6016 (1989).

<sup>20</sup>H.-J. Werner and P. J. Knowles, "An efficient internally contracted multiconfiguration-reference configuration interaction method," J. Chem. Phys. 89, 5803 (1988).

<sup>21</sup> P. J. Knowles and H.-J. Werner, "An efficient method for the evaluation of coupling coefficients in configuration interaction calculations," Chem. Phys. Lett. 145, 514–522 (1988).

<sup>22</sup>S. R. Langhoff and E. R. Davidson, "Configuration interaction calculations on the nitrogen molecule," Int. J. Quantum Chem. 8, 61–72 (1974).

<sup>23</sup> P. J. Knowles, G. Knizia, F. R. Manby, M. Schütz, P. Celani, W. Györffy, D. Kats, T. Korona, R. Lindh *et al.*, MOLPRO 2015.1 is a package of ab initio programs written by H.-J. Werner.

<sup>24</sup>K. A. Peterson, D. E. Woon, and T. H. Dunning, "Benchmark calculations with correlated molecular wave functions. IV. The classical barrier height of the H + H<sub>2</sub>  $\rightarrow$  H<sub>2</sub> + H reaction," J. Chem. Phys. **100**, 7410–7415 (1994).

<sup>25</sup>D. Tzeli, A. Mavridis, and S. S. Xantheas, "A first principles study of the acetylene-water interaction," J. Chem. Phys. **112**, 6178–6189 (2000).

<sup>26</sup>B. Paizs, P. Salvador, A. G. Császár, M. Duran, and S. Suhai, "Intermolecular bond lengths: Extrapolation to the basis set limit on uncorrected and BSSEcorrected potential energy hypersurfaces," J. Comput. Chem. **22**, 196–207 (2001).

<sup>27</sup>D. Feller, "Benchmarks of improved complete basis set extrapolation schemes designed for standard CCSD(T) atomization energies," J. Chem. Phys. **138**, 074103 (2013).

<sup>28</sup>E. L. Johnson, Q. C. Davis, and M. D. Morse, "Predissociation measurements of bond dissociation energies: VC, VN, and VS," J. Chem. Phys. 144, 234306 (2016).
 <sup>29</sup>D. J. Brugh, "Characterization of the transition metal carbon bond in small organometallic molecules by resonant two-photon ionization spectroscopy,"

Ph.D. thesis (University of Utah, Salt Lake City, Utah, 1997).
<sup>30</sup>M. D. Morse, "Predissociation measurements of bond dissociation energies," Acc. Chem. Res. 52, 119–126 (2019).

<sup>31</sup> M. D. Morse, "Supersonic beam sources," in *Methods of Experimental Physics: Atomic, Molecular, and Optical Physics, Vol. II Atoms and Molecules*, edited by F. B. Dunning and R. Hulet (Academic Press, Inc., 1996), pp. 21–47.

<sup>32</sup>D. J. Matthew and M. D. Morse, "Resonant two-photon ionization spectroscopy of jet-cooled UN: Determination of the ground state," J. Chem. Phys. **138**, 184303 (2013).

<sup>33</sup>W. C. Wiley and I. H. McLaren, "Time-of-Flight mass spectrometer with improved resolution," Rev. Sci. Instrum. 26, 1150–1157 (1955).

<sup>34</sup>B. A. Mamyrin, V. I. Karataev, D. V. Shmikk, and V. A. Zagulin, "Mass reflectron: New nonmagnetic time-of-flight high resolution massspectrometer," Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. 64, 82–89 (1973); http://jetp.ras.ru/cgibin/dn/e\_037\_01\_0045.pdf.

<sup>35</sup>J. J. Sorensen, E. Tieu, A. Sevy, D. M. Merriles, C. Nielson, J. C. Ewigleben, and M. D. Morse, "Bond dissociation energies of transition metal oxides: CrO, MoO, RuO, and RhO," J. Chem. Phys. **153**, 074303 (2020).

<sup>36</sup>D. M. Merriles, K. H. Tomchak, J. C. Ewigleben, and M. D. Morse, "Predissociation measurements of the bond dissociation energies of EuO, TmO, and YbO," J. Chem. Phys. 155, 144303 (2021).

<sup>37</sup>A. Kramida, Y. Ralchenko, J. Reader, and NIST ASD Team, NIST Atomic Spectra Database (version 5.11), National Institute of Standards and Technology, Gaithersburg, MD, https://physics.nist.gov/asd, 2023.

<sup>38</sup>D. Tzeli and A. Mavridis, "On the dipole moment of the ground state X 3∆ of iron carbide, FeC," J. Chem. Phys. **118**, 4984–4986 (2003).

<sup>39</sup>A. Kalemos, T. H. Dunning, Jr., and A. Mavridis, "First principles investigation of chromium carbide, CrC," J. Chem. Phys. **123**, 014302 (2005).

<sup>40</sup>D. Tzeli, I. Karapetsas, D. M. Merriles, J. C. Ewigleben, and M. D. Morse, "Molybdenum-sulfur bond: Electronic structure of low-lying states of MoS," J. Phys. Chem. A **126**, 1168–1181 (2022).