Theoretical study on the electronic structure, formation and absorption spectra of lithium, sodium and potassium complexes of N-confused tetraphenylporphyrin
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**A B S T R A C T**

The present work is a theoretical study on lithium, sodium and potassium complexes of N-confused tetraphenylporphyrin (NCTPP). Its purpose is to determine the stability, binding, absorption spectra and formation energy of the complexes of NCTPP with sodium and potassium, studied here for the first time. All calculations were carried out employing density functional theory (DFT) and time-dependent DFT, using the B3LYP, PBEO and M06-2X functionals in conjunction with the 6-31G(d,p) basis set. The results show that the energy ordering of different low-lying minimum energy structures of the three metal complexes is not the same, with the global minimum energy structures of the lithium and sodium resulting from the same tautomer of NCTPP while potassium complexes result from another tautomer of NCTPP. The insertion of Li, Na and K into NCTPP in the presence of THF is exothermic with reaction energies calculated to be −68, −56 and −50 kcal/mol using the corresponding metal bis-(trimethylsilyl)amide reagents. The absorption vis–UV spectra are similar for the different metals and the Q and Soret bands are slightly red shifted as the metal changes from Li to K.

© 2013 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

**1. Introduction**

N-confused porphyrins (NCPs) are porphyrin isomers having one pyrrole ring inverted, resulting in three nitrogen atoms and one carbon atom at the macrocycle core and the inverted nitrogen atom at the β-position on the periphery of the macrocycle, cf. Scheme 1. Since their first syntheses [1] singly and doubly NCPs, i.e., with one and two inverted pyrrole groups, have been studied extensively [2–5]. They form a variety of stable organometallic compounds displaying multimodal coordination properties [2,3]. In addition, the peripheral nitrogen atom (of the inverted pyrrole ring) can act as a hydrogen bonding donor or acceptor, resulting in the formation of multiporphyrin systems [3] As a result, NCPs are candidates for applications in many areas of chemistry and materials science [3].

Metal complexes of NCPs have been generated using mostly main group elements, lanthanides, and transition metal ions, [2,3,5] while for complexes of group IA with NCPs, there are only two very recent studies, one experimental [6] and one theoretical [7] on lithium complexes of N-confused tetraphenylporphyrin (NCTPP) and its 21-N-methylated variant. Sripothongnak and Ziegler, [6] synthesized and characterized by x-ray diffraction lithium complexes of NCTPP and obtained their absorption spectrum. The theoretical study on the same systems, conducted by our group, was performed employing the DFT theory [7]. The theoretical results confirmed the experimental conclusions for the most part, but a different interpretation was provided regarding the type of metal-porphyrin bonding involved in the complexes. In particular it was found that in these complexes Li does not adopt the typical tetrahedral coordination of Li⁺ (eg. found in complexes of Li with porphyrin) [8] but it forms a stronger bond with one of the three available N atoms with a nearly planar coordination [7]. The fact that lithium almost fits in the porphyrin cavity may result in lithium being effectively sequestered [9]. As far as we know, there is no study (experimental or theoretical) on the complexes of any of the remaining group IA metals with NCTPP or any NCPs. Generally, theoretical studies on free-base NCPs [4b,7,9] or metal complexes of NCPs [5f,9,7] are few, while there is a significant number of experimental studies [1–5].

The importance of the alkali metal NCP(M-NCP) arises from the possibility that they be used as synthetic reagents like the alkali metal normal porphyrins (M-P). The latter have been used as synthetic reagents for the preparation of a wide range of M-P (c.f., M = Sc, Zr, and Hf) in high yield (for instance >90% for M = Sc) [11]. In general the stabilities of M-P decreased in the order small divalent > large divalent > alkali metal with some exceptions under specific conditions [11].
In the present work, we study theoretically sodium and potassium complexes of NCTPP employing density functional theory (DFT). We determine the stability and the binding of the above complexes by geometry optimization calculations of the ground electronic state. The excited electronic states and the absorption spectra of their different conformers are calculated. Moreover, additional calculations to our previous study on lithium complexes of NCTPP [7] have been carried out. Our aim is to compare the lithium, sodium and potassium complexes of NCTPP with respect to the stability, the binding and the absorption spectra, and to determine whether Na or K adopt an unusual coordination environment, like that observed in the Li complexes of NCTPP [7]. The final goal is to provide information for the potential use of alkali metal NCP as synthetic reagents like the alkali metal normal porphyrins do.

2. Methods

We calculated seven tautomers of free N-confused tetraphenylporphyrin (NCTPP) (1a–1g) given in Fig. 1; the sodium and potassium complexes of the three lowest energy of NCTPP, 1a, 1b, and 1c (1aNa, 1bNa, 1cNa, 1aK, 1bK and 1cK) and the Li complex with the 1c tautomer (1cLi) given in Fig. 2; the sodium and potassium complexes of the two lowest energy of NCTPP, 1a and 1b in the presence of one tetrahydrofuran (THF) molecule (1aNaTHF, 1bNaTHF, 1aKTHF, and 1bKTHF) given in Fig. 3. The above structures are given in more detailed on Figs. 1S and 2S of the ESM. The calculations were carried out both in the gas phase and in toluene solvent. For all calculated structures shown in Figs. 1–3, the harmonic frequencies were calculated confirming that they are true energy-minima.

For brevity we employ the abbreviation NCTPP for N-confused tetraphenylporphyrin, in both free and complex forms, even though in the complex form, one of the hydrogen atoms of the ligand has been replaced by a metal atom. Since it was found [6] that Li-NCTPP synthesized in 5% anhydrous THF in toluene produced pseudo-five coordinated Li complexes and it would be interesting to address the question whether the corresponding Na and K complexes could be formed, all metal complexes have also been calculated in the presence of one tetrahydrofuran (THF) molecule. Similarly, in normal porphyrins with Li, Na, and K, the metal also forms bond with solvent molecules such as THF or OEt2 [8]. Only one THF molecule has been included because there is no space for more than one THF molecule to form a complex with M-NCTPP.

In our previous work [7], complexes of the N-confused tetraphenylporphyrin (1a and 1b tautomers) with lithium in the presence or absence of THF were calculated employing the B3LYP [12], CAM-B3LYP [13], and M06-2X [14] functionals in conjunction with the 6-31G(d,p) [15] basis set. All three functionals predicted similar geometries, in agreement with the available crystallographic data, and they yielded similar population analyses. However, it was concluded that the M06-2X functional was more suitable for the calculation of the reaction energies of the Li-NCTPP complexes judging from test calculations on simple systems such as the LiN molecule. The M06-2X/6-31G(d,p) method is in complete agreement with the MRCI/aug-cc-pV5Z/cc-pVQZLi [16] results for the D_e values, while the CAM-B3LYP and B3LYP functionals result in small deviations [7]; nonetheless all three DFT methods are in good agreement with respect to the bond distance of the ab initio method. Additionally, we found that the calculated vis–UV spectra of the conformers are similar using either the M06-2X or the CAM-B3LYP functional, while the corresponding B3LYP peaks are shifted to lower energies, which are in better agreement with experimental values.

Taking into account the conclusions of the previous work on the suitability of the functionals [7], all calculated structures of the present work were fully optimized using the B3LYP [12] and M06-2X [14] functionals in conjunction with the 6-31G(d,p) [15] basis set in the gas phase and in toluene solvent. Additionally, the PBE0 [17] functional was employed to compare its results with...
the corresponding results of the M06-2X and B3LYP functionals. PBE0 combines the PBE generalized gradient functional with a predefined amount of exact exchange, [17] and it is a reliable functional for the study of excited electronic states of organic molecules, see refs. [18,19] and references therein.

Finally, in order to calculate the reaction energy of the insertion of Na and K to NCTPP, we carried out calculations on the reagents, sodium and potassium bis-(trimethylsilyl) amide and bis-(trimethylsilyl)amine, i.e., NaN(Si(Me)3)2 and KN(Si(Me)3)2 respectively, at the M06-2X/6-31G(d,p) level of theory. The polarizable continuum model (PCM) [20] was employed for the inclusion of the toluene solvent. This method is one of the most often used for reliable continuum solvation procedures [21].

The singlet-spin excited electronic states of the separated species and their complexes have been calculated via Time Dependent DFT (TDDFT) [22] in the gas phase and in toluene solvent. The lowest 50 excited electronic states of the complexes have been determined at the optimized ground state geometry, relevant to the absorption spectra in order to calculate the Q, B(Soret), N, L, and M bands [23] and to identify the differences among the absorption spectra of the three metal complexes of NCTPP.

Basis set superposition error (BSSE) corrections have been taken into account using the counterpoise procedure [24] for all studied minima.

All calculations were carried out using the Gaussian 09 program package [25]. The coordinates of all the optimized structures are included in the accompanying Electronic supplementary information (ESM).

3. Results and discussion

3.1. Geometries and bonding

3.1.1. NCTPP

Seven minimum-energy tautomers of the NCTPP molecule (1a–1g) are shown in Fig. 1. In our previous study on the Li-NCTPP clusters only the two lowest minima 1a and 1b were considered [7]; both of which have been observed in solution [1,10] with 1a the preferred tautomer in aromatic and halogenated solvents and also in the gas phase [1,7,10]. Here, five additional tautomers are calculated to examine whether other minima of the NCTPP molecule can lead to low-lying stable complexes with metals. The third minimum structure of the NCTPP molecule, 1c, has two hydrogen atoms attached to C1 and lies energetically at 8 kcal/mol above 1a at the M06-2X/6-31G(d,p) level of theory. As we show below, 1c forms low-lying metal complexes. It might be noted that when only one hydrogen atom is connected to one of the N1, N2, or N3 atoms, it prefers to be connected to the N1 atom. As a result, the 1b minimum is more stable by about 8 kcal/mol than 1d or 1e and similarly 1c is more stable than 1f or 1g by about 8 kcal/mol, see Fig. 1.

3.1.2. M-NCTPP

The replacement of one hydrogen atom connected to N atoms (N1, N2 or N3) of the core in the three lowest minimum tautomers 1a, 1b, and 1c of the NCTPP molecule with M = Li, Na, and K results in the M-NCTPP minimum energy structures, i.e., 1aM-1, 1aM-2, 1BM, 1cM-1, and 1cM-2, shown in Fig. 2. The 1aLi and 1bLi structures are given here for comparison. The 1aM-1 and 1aM-2 minima differ in which hydrogen atom of the free base porphyrin has been replaced, namely H3 and H2, respectively (see Fig. 2). In 1BM, 1cM-1, and 1cM-2 the hydrogen atom H1 has been replaced by the metal atom. Structures 1cM-1 and 1cM-2 differ in the relative position of M with respect to H4 and H5 atoms, attached to C1; in 1cM-1 the M atom is placed close to one hydrogen atom of C1, while in 1cM-2 the M atom is placed between the two hydrogen atoms of C1. There are some differences among the 1cM structures of the three metals. In the case of the Na metal both 1cM-1 and 1cM-2 structures are stable minima. In the case of K only the 1cK-1 structure is stable, because optimization of the 1cK-2 structure results in the 1cK-1 minimum. The situation is reversed for the Li complexes, where 1cLi-1 is not a minimum energy structure and its optimization leads to 1cLi-2. The 1cLi structures had not been calculated in our previous study [7]. The energy differences

![Fig. 1](image_url)
The relative ordering of the different minimum energy structures of the free NCTPP (H₂NCTPP) and the M-NCTPP complexes for M = Li, Na and K are depicted in Fig. 4. It is very interesting that all three M-NCTPP complexes present different energy ordering. In free NCTPP the ordering is \(1a, 1b, 1c\), in Li-NCTPP complex it changes to \(1b, 1c, 1a\), in Na-NCTPP complex it changes again to \(1b, 1a, 1c\), while in K-NCTPP complex it returns to \(1a, 1b, 1c\) as in the free NCTPP. The reason is that the Li atom is small enough to fit in the porphyrin core but the N–Li bond is larger than the N–H by 0.9 Å; thus Li prefers energetically the \(1b\) structure because only one H atom is connected to the other three core atoms (N or C), Na and K form more elongated N–M bonds. Thus, they do not fit in the core and they are located above it. For these reasons the \(1a\) structure is stabilized. While in Li complexes the difference between \(1b\) and \(1a\) is about 11 kcal/mol, in Na complexes their energy difference is reduced to 3 kcal/mol and in K complexes it is reversed to ~3 kcal/mol resulting in the \(1a\) structure to be the global minimum.

The optimized geometries obtained using the three functionals (B3LYP, M06-2X, PBE0) are similar, see Table 1S of the ESM. Some selected bond lengths obtained using the M06-2X functional in the gas phase and in toluene solvent are given in Table 1. In all \(1a\) minima, \(n = a, b,\) and \(c\), the N–M distances ranges from 1.8 to 2.0 Å for the \(1a\) minima, 2.2-2.3 for \(1nNa\), and 2.6-2.7 for \(1nK\). The smallest N–M distances are observed for the \(1c\) minima.
The distance between the M atom and the plane of the three inner N atoms ranges from 0.03 (1cLi-2) to 0.7 (1a-1g) Å for the 1LiNa minima, i.e., the 1cLi-2 minimum has the Li atom practically on the plane of the N atoms. The corresponding ranges for the 1Na and 1K minima are 0.9–1.2 and 1.6–1.8 Å above the plane, respectively, see Table 1. Comparing the geometries in the gas phase and in solvent we conclude that for the Li complexes the bond lengths are almost the same, while in the cases of Na and K complexes there are some differences. The K complexes present the largest differences up to 0.1 Å, see Table 1. In general, the toluene solvent results in an elongation of the bonds with the exception of the 1cNa structures.

As mentioned above all minimum energy structures of the M-NCTPP have been formed with the replacement of one hydrogen atom connected to N atoms of the free NCTPP. The metal atoms form a bond with these N atoms, and additionally the metal atoms interact and form bonds (less strong, i.e., with elongated bond length) with the remaining two N atoms as well. Moreover, the metal atoms are located in the right place to form an agostic-like interaction with the internal C atom (C1). While, Li complexes are quasi-planar, the Na and K complexes adopt a more typical tetrahedral coordination and the metals are above the porphyrin core. Comparing the present calculated M-NCTPP with other metal-NCPs, e.g., metals that form M⁺⁺ cations such as Fe [5b,c], Ni [5i], and Zn [5f], two H–N bonds break and the metal cation fits in the NCP cavity with M–N bond lengths of 1.9–2.0 Å, similar to those of the Li-NCTPP where too the Li species fits in the NCTPP cavity.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species</th>
<th>(R_{M-N2})</th>
<th>(R_{M-N3})</th>
<th>(R_{M-O})</th>
<th>(\varphi)</th>
<th>(\Theta)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1bLi⁺</td>
<td>1.909</td>
<td>2.086</td>
<td>2.059</td>
<td>0.230</td>
<td>2.390</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1bLiTHF₁⁻</td>
<td>1.980</td>
<td>2.093</td>
<td>2.067</td>
<td>0.267</td>
<td>2.394</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1cLi-2</td>
<td>1.927</td>
<td>2.064</td>
<td>2.057</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>2.242</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1bLiTHF₁⁻</td>
<td>1.914</td>
<td>2.076</td>
<td>2.075</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>2.244</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(a) Distance between the M or O and the plane of the three inner N atoms of porphyrin.
(b) The data are from Ref. [7].
(c) In toluene solvent.
(d) Ref. [6].
Finally, the M-NCTPP complexes calculated here are compared with the complexes of the normal tetraphenylporphyrin (TPP) with Li, Na and K [8]. Two types of complexes of TPP have been studied, i.e., M₂TPP(solvent)ₙ and MTPP (neutral, cation and anion). In the case of M₂TPP(solvent)ₙ complexes, two inner hydrogen atoms are removed and all three metal cations are out of the plane of the four N atoms [8]. This is in contrast to the insertion of the alkali atom in NCTPP where it causes only one H–N bond to break and the internal C–H bond is retained. In the case of MTPP⁺, it was found, both experimentally and theoretically, that the attachment of the M⁺ cation to normal porphyrin results in the metal lying on top of the porphyrin cavity even for the smallest alkali cation, Li⁺ [9]. Note that the two inner hydrogen atoms are still present. Thus the M⁺ cations are not effectively sequestered and are in fact exposed and thus accessible for donation [9]. Similarly, M metals are exposed in M-NCTPP and accessible for donation and they can be candidates as intermediates for the preparation of other metal complexes [8].

The second minimum is the isomers the ring of THF is parallel to the plane of the M atom in the absence of THF [26]. Calculations have shown that both LiTPP⁺ and LiTPP⁻ are also planar [26b]. With inverted porphyrin we found that Li lies close to the plane of the internal N atoms. The distance between Li and the plane is 0.230 Å in the global minimum structure [7], and 0.028 Å in the second minimum. In the LiTPP radical and in Li₂TPP/OET₂ two corresponding values are 0.256 and 1.071 Å, respectively [11], showing that Li complexes of normal and inverted porphyrins present differences with respect to the position of the metal with respect to the porphyrin core.

### 3.1.3. M-NCTPP-THF

In the presence of THF, pseudo-five coordinate complexes are generated for the lithium complexes of the 1b porphyrin [6,7]. Similarly, in the case of the sodium and potassium cations, five coordinate complexes are formed when the oxygen atom of THF is attached to the metal. There is space for only one THF molecule to be complexed with M-NCTPP. Five isomers for Na and six for K have been calculated, labeled as 1aNTHF₁ and 1aNTHF₂, (for \( n = a, b, c \)) where 1aN is the minima structures of the Na and K complexes of NCTPP and in the _1_ isomers the ring of THF is parallel to the core, while in the _2_ isomers the THF ring is perpendicular to the core ring, see Figs. 3 and 25 of the ESM. The _1_ structures are slightly lower in energy than the corresponding _2_ structures, in all three functionals and for M = Li, Na and K, see Figs. 3 and 25 of the ESM.

For the complex of Na, the two lowest minima are practically degenerate, i.e., 1aN-THF₁ and 1bNaTHF₁, while in the absence of THF 1bNa is the global minimum, see Fig. 2. In the case of the complexes of K, the energy difference between the corresponding two minima is larger, i.e., ~5 kcal/mol in toluene solvent using the M06-2X functional, and the 1aK-THF₁ is the global minimum structure, the same as in the absence of THF. The second minimum is the 1aK-THF₂ structure, which lies ~2 kcal/mol above the 1aK-THF₁. Thus, the presence of THF for the Na complexes of porphyrin decreases the energy difference between the 1bNa and 1aNa minima, by ~2 kcal/mol, while for the K complexes it slightly increases the corresponding difference, see Figs. 2 and 25 of the ESM. Moreover, the presence of the THF in the complexes results in a change of the N-M distances up to 0.3 Å, with the largest increases observed for the 1aN-1 and 1aK-1 minima, see Table 1. The increase of the N-M distance due to the binding of the M with the THF molecule is predictable because the additional bond attenuates the already existing M-N bonds. Finally, the distance between the M atom and the plane of the three inner N atoms is increased by up to 0.2 Å, for all three M atoms.

#### 3.1.4. Population analyses

The natural population analysis (NPA) and the Mulliken analysis of some of the calculated structures are presented in Table 2, while for all calculated structures the corresponding data are given in Table 15 of the supporting Information. It has been stated that the Mulliken charges can underestimate the ionic character and present a basis set dependency, while the NPA overestimate the ionic character of the atoms and it is generally agreed that the use of both population analyses is indicative and helps us to make comparisons for similar structures [27]. Thus, both methods should be used and, via the comparison of the two methods, we may decide on the ionic character of the metals in the complexes. Both analyses predict that the inner N atoms have negative charges which range from −0.6 to −0.7 e⁻ in all cases. The analyses for the M and the C1 atoms involved in the agostic-like bond predict different charges on the atoms with the NPA predicting more ionic character for the atoms up to 0.3 e⁻ as it was expected [7]. However, both analyses rank all structures from lowest to highest metal charge, the same way. Moreover, all three functionals predict practically the same charges on the atoms within the same type of

#### Table 2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species</th>
<th>q₁₀</th>
<th>q₁₀₁</th>
<th>q₁₀₂</th>
<th>q₁₀₃</th>
<th>q₁₀₄</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1bLi</td>
<td>0.34 (0.63)</td>
<td>-0.68 (-0.60)</td>
<td>-0.67 (-0.57)</td>
<td>-0.68 (-0.59)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1cLi-2</td>
<td>0.17 (0.57)</td>
<td>-0.64 (-0.54)</td>
<td>-0.67 (-0.55)</td>
<td>-0.68 (-0.56)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1aLi-1⁺</td>
<td>0.38 (0.73)</td>
<td>-0.72 (-0.64) ¹</td>
<td>-0.72 (-0.61) ¹</td>
<td>-0.69 (-0.61)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1bLiTHF₁ ¹</td>
<td>0.28 (0.55)</td>
<td>-0.62 (-0.60) ¹</td>
<td>-0.63 (-0.54) ¹</td>
<td>-0.64 (-0.57) ¹</td>
<td>-0.52 (-0.59) ¹</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1bNa</td>
<td>0.53 (0.79)</td>
<td>-0.68 (-0.60)</td>
<td>-0.67 (-0.58)</td>
<td>-0.68 (-0.60)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1cNa-1</td>
<td>0.50 (0.83)</td>
<td>-0.64 (-0.55)</td>
<td>-0.63 (-0.57)</td>
<td>-0.65 (-0.58)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1cNa-2</td>
<td>0.53 (0.80)</td>
<td>-0.64 (-0.56)</td>
<td>-0.64 (-0.57)</td>
<td>-0.66 (-0.58)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1aNa-1</td>
<td>0.52 (0.86)</td>
<td>-0.70 (-0.64)</td>
<td>-0.74 (-0.64)</td>
<td>-0.66 (-0.60)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1bNaTHF</td>
<td>0.44 (0.73)</td>
<td>-0.61 (-0.59)</td>
<td>-0.66 (-0.56)</td>
<td>-0.65 (-0.58)</td>
<td>-0.56 (-0.63)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1aNa-1THF₁</td>
<td>0.46 (0.82)</td>
<td>-0.67 (-0.64)</td>
<td>-0.69 (-0.59)</td>
<td>-0.65 (-0.60)</td>
<td>-0.56 (-0.65)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1aNa-2THF₁</td>
<td>0.44 (0.81)</td>
<td>-0.64 (-0.62)</td>
<td>-0.66 (-0.53)</td>
<td>-0.73 (-0.61)</td>
<td>-0.55 (-0.64)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1bK</td>
<td>0.71 (0.90)</td>
<td>-0.67 (-0.61)</td>
<td>-0.66 (-0.58)</td>
<td>-0.67 (-0.60)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1cK-1</td>
<td>0.71 (0.90)</td>
<td>-0.63 (-0.54)</td>
<td>-0.64 (-0.56)</td>
<td>-0.65 (-0.57)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1aK-1</td>
<td>0.73 (0.92)</td>
<td>-0.72 (-0.63)</td>
<td>-0.75 (-0.62)</td>
<td>-0.68 (-0.59)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1bKTHF</td>
<td>0.64 (0.83)</td>
<td>-0.62 (-0.59)</td>
<td>-0.65 (-0.56)</td>
<td>-0.66 (-0.58)</td>
<td>-0.57 (-0.63)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1aK-1THF₁</td>
<td>0.66 (0.86)</td>
<td>-0.67 (-0.61)</td>
<td>-0.74 (-0.61)</td>
<td>-0.66 (-0.57)</td>
<td>-0.58 (-0.64)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1aK-2THF₁</td>
<td>0.65 (0.86)</td>
<td>-0.69 (-0.61)</td>
<td>-0.65 (-0.57)</td>
<td>-0.75 (-0.61)</td>
<td>-0.56 (-0.63)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* The data are from Ref. [7].
analysis. Roughly, the Na and K metal atoms have a charge of about 0.7 and 0.8 e−, respectively, cf. 0.5 e− calculated for Li [7]. Note, that the occupancy of the axial position in the complex by THF results in a small reduction of the positive charge of the metal for both analyses because THF is connected to the M metal via its empty p orbital and charge is transferred to M from the O atom of THF. The Na and K metals have the same ionic charge within the same analyses for all the 1aM, 1bM and 1cM complexes, while in the case of the 1c1i-2 complex Li has less ionic character than in the 1bLi and 1aLi complexes. Note that the 1c1i-2 complex is a planar complex. Overall, on the contrary to Li complexes [7], the Na and K species are have a stronger ionic character than Li and the Na and K complexes adopt a more typical tetrahedral coordination of Na+ and K+ [8].

3.2. Energetics

The strength of the M–N bond was calculated for the three M metals and their different isomers see Tables 3 and 25 of the ESM. The strength of the M–N bond decreases in going from the Li to the K complexes, both with respect to the homolytic and the heterolytic cleavage of the M–N bond. The presence of toluene reduces the strength of the M–N bond slightly in the homolytic cleavage, i.e., by about 6 kcal/mol for Li, ~2 kcal/mol for Na and ~0 kcal/mol for K. On the contrary, in the heterolytic cleavage the bond strength is reduced in toluene to about half of the value in the gas phase, see Table 3. Thus, concerning the potential use of the M-NCTPP as a synthetic reagent for the preparation of other metal-NCTPP, K-NCTPP is the best reagent among the three calculated here. The same stands for the corresponding normal porphyrins [11]. Finally, the heterolytic cleavage of the bond strength in toluene is the least expensive route for severing the M–N bond with reaction enthalpies (at 1 atm and 298.15 K) of 80.3, 68.7, and 59.4 kcal/mol for the Li-NCTPP, Na-NCTPP, and K-NCTPP, respectively.

The insertion of sodium and potassium into 1 has been calculated for the reaction:

\[
\text{NCTPP} + \text{Na} + \text{HN(Si(Me)\textsubscript{3})\textsubscript{2}} \rightarrow \text{Na-NCTPP} + \text{HN(Si(Me)\textsubscript{3})\textsubscript{2}}
\]

The M06-2X reaction enthalpies of reaction (1) at 1 atm and 298.15 K are −48.1(−37.6) kcal/mol for the production of the Li-NCTPP (1bLi) [7], −36.1(−28.0) for the Na-NCTPP (1bNa), and −31.7(−27.1) for the K-NCTPP (1aK-1), with respect to the lowest energy 1a tautomer in the gas phase (in toluene solvent), see Table 4. In the presence of THF, the reaction enthalpies for the formation of the lowest energy isomers, are −65.2(−54.1), −52.7(−41.7) and −47.7(−40.0) kcal/mol, respectively, the values decreasing with the size of the metal, i.e., the Li-NCTPP complex is the most stable among the three M-NCTPP complexes.

Reaction (1) is probably a multi-step reaction. The M–N bond of the MN(Si(Me)\textsubscript{3})\textsubscript{2} reagent can be homolytically or heterolytically broken in the first step, followed by the substitution of the H atoms or cations attached to the internal N atoms of NCTPP with the M atom or cations and finally the formation of the (HNSi(Me)\textsubscript{3})\textsubscript{2} molecule, cf., reactions (2)–(4) for the homolytic procedure and reactions (5)–(7) for the heterolytic procedure.

\[
\text{MN(Si(Me)\textsubscript{3})\textsubscript{2}} + \text{M}^+ + \text{N(Si(Me)\textsubscript{3})\textsubscript{2}} \rightarrow \text{M-NCTPP} + \text{HN(Si(Me)\textsubscript{3})\textsubscript{2}}
\]

NCTPP + M\textsuperscript{+} → M−NCTPP + H\textsuperscript{+}

H\textsuperscript{+} + N(Si(Me)\textsubscript{3})\textsubscript{2} → HN(Si(Me)\textsubscript{3})\textsubscript{2}

MN(Si(Me)\textsubscript{3})\textsubscript{2} + M\textsuperscript{+} + N(Si(Me)\textsubscript{3})\textsubscript{2} → M−NCTPP + H\textsuperscript{+}

H\textsuperscript{+} + N(Si(Me)\textsubscript{3})\textsubscript{2} → HN(Si(Me)\textsubscript{3})\textsubscript{2}

The reaction energies, the enthalpies and the Gibbs free energies of the above reactions are given in Table 4 and Tables 3S–4S of the ESM. The relative enthalpies in toluene solvent of these two possible routes are depicted in Figs. 5 and 6 for M = Na and K, respectively, while the enthalpies for the insertion of Li, Na and K via the reaction both in the gas phase and in toluene solvent are depicted in Figs. 3S–5S of the ESM. These three figures have the same pattern, showing that the reaction enthalpies of formation for the three metals have the same trends with respect to where the reaction occurs, i.e., in the gas phase or in toluene solvent, and how it occurs, i.e., via heterolytic or homolytic route. Moreover, it seems that even though the toluene solvent stabilizes the heterolytic route substantially, the metal insertion is more likely to occur via the homolytic route rather than the heterolytic route for all three metals. The only difference between the three metals...
3.3. Absorption spectra

The Gouterman’s 4 electron-4 orbital model [28], which explains the absorption spectra of porphyrins, assumes that the absorption bands in porphyrin systems arise from transitions between two HOMO (H, H-1) and two LUMO (L, L+1) orbitals. The identities of the metal center and the substituents on the ring affect the relative energies of these transitions. Transitions between these orbitals give rise to Q-bands and the Soret (or B) band. The Q bands are responsible for the red to purple color, are present in the visible region between 500 and 700 nm of the free base porphyrin absorption spectra. The Soret band is a very sharp and intense band which appears around 400 nm in the near UV region. There are also additional bands (N, L, M bands) in the UV, but these are usually quite weak. The Soret band positions are sensitive to substituent groups. [29] This model is also applicable to N-confused free and metal porphyrins [7,10]. Since the Q and Soret bands are the most important ones in the study of the absorption spectra of porphyrins, attention will be given mainly to these bands.

In this section, we study the absorption spectra of the M-NCTPP complexes using the TD-B3LYP, TD-M06-2X, and TD-PBE0 functionals. The TD-B3LYP functional predicts Q and Soret peaks for the Li-NCTPP and its externally N-methylated complex [7] in very good agreement with the experimental values [6], while for TD-CAM-B3LYP and TD-M06-2X these peaks are similar and are shifted to larger energies [7]. The TD-PBE0 functional is a reliable functional for the study of excited electronic states of organic molecules [18,19] and for free normal porphyrin as it has been seen from comparison of TD-PBE0 spectra with the experimental data [19]. Finally, the TD-M06-2X functional is useful here to see if for the Na-NCTPP and K-NCTPP complexes it presents absorption spectra similar with TD-B3LYP spectra.

All the calculated absorption spectra of the studied minima in the gas phase and in toluene solvent with all three functionals are presented in Figs. 6S-11S of the ESM, while the TD-PBE0 calculated absorption spectra are depicted in Fig. 7. These figures have been visualized by GaussView5 [25] and they depict the molar absorptivity, $\varepsilon$ versus wavelength (labeled by GaussView5 as excitation energy, in nm). The molar absorptivity is directly related to the dipole transition moments. The peak half-widths at half height are 0.05 eV. Excitation energies ($\Delta E$), major peaks ($\lambda$), oscillator strengths ($f$-value), main excitations and their coefficient contributing to the excited state of calculated structures for the lowest-energy Q and Soret bands, calculated via TD-DFT, are given in Table 6 and Tables SS and 6S of the ESM.

The experimental absorption spectrum of the Li-NCTPP complex [6] is used as a guide for evaluation of the PBE0 applicability. We find here that the TD-PBE0 peak shifts range from 0.01 to 0.23 eV. Specifically, the PBE0 $\lambda$ values of the lowest-energy Q and major Soret peaks determined at 742 and 739 cm$^{-1}$, are in good agreement with the experimental values of 739 and 468 cm$^{-1}$, see Table 6. Note that the TD-B3LYP peak shifts range from 0.06 to 0.16 eV, while TD-M06-2X presents for the major Soret peak a blue shift of 0.4 eV, Table 6. We observe that the TD-PBE0 values are between the TD-B3LYP and the TD-M06-2X values and...
are more similar to the TD-B3LYP values than to the TD-M06-2X ones, see Tables 6 and 5S–6S of the ESM. As a conclusion both B3LYP and PBE0 functionals are considered as a good choice for the calculation of the spectra in the present work, while the M06-2X peaks are shifted to larger energies by 0.1–0.3 eV with respect to B3LYP peaks. As mentioned above the Q and Soret bands result from transitions between H, H-1 and L, L+1 orbitals, see Fig. 8. The fact that the excited states are well described with the B3LYP or PBE0 functionals stems from the fact that the electronic excitations are not of the charge-transfer type. Note that if they were of the charge-transfer type the CAM-B3LYP should be used.

However, all three functionals predict the same general shape of the vis–UV spectra for the same minimum; see Figs. 6S–11S of the ESM and for the case of Li-NCTPP these spectra are in
agreement with the full shape of the experimental spectrum which has been derived for wavelengths from 350 to 775 nm. All complexes which include have been shown to be, i.e., a, b, or c, have the same general shape of the vis–UV spectrum, irrespectively of the M metal or the presence of the THF.

There are differences between the spectra of the minima in the gas phase and in toluene solvent mainly in the relative position of the two Soret peaks and in the general shape of the vis–UV spectra, irrespectively of the M metal. Moreover, with respect to the M atom or to the presence of THF is small enough.

The lowest energy Q peak, i.e., first excited state, in the presence of the THF almost does not change the Q and Soret bands, see Fig. 7 and compare Figs. 6S–8S to Figs. 9S–11S. The different isomers it contributes to the four frontier orbitals. Finally, the metal does not contribute to the H–1, H, L, and L+1 orbitals.

As mentioned above, excitations among the H–1, H, L, and L+1 orbitals result in the transitions of the Q and Soret (B) bands [7]. The lowest energy Q peak, i.e., first excited state, in the b isomers is a H → L transition and in the a and c isomers is dominated by this transition, irrespective of the H or the M atom or the presence of the THF molecule. The major peak of the Soret band corresponds to excitation from the H–1 to the L orbital (having the largest coefficient) and from the H to the L+1 orbital, for almost all minima, see Table 5S of the ESM. Note that all three functionalities yield the same main excitations and coefficient contributing to the excited state. In the a isomers the lowest energy Q peak is blue shifted as the hydrogen atom is replaced by the M metal, and all three metals have similar values for the Q peak. These shifts depict the reduction (red shifts) or the increase (blue shifts) in the H–L gap, see Table 5S of the ESM. Moreover, for all different a and b isomers the energy difference between H and H–1 orbitals is larger than the energy difference between L and L+1 orbitals, a typical case for the low-symmetry porphyrin compounds [30]. On the other hand, for all different c isomers the opposite occurs, irrespective of the H or the M atom or to the presence of the THF molecule. In addition, it seems from Fig. 8 and in Figs. 9S–11S of the ESM, that the inverted nitrogen atom contributes to the porphyrin H–1 and L orbitals and not in H and L+1 orbitals for all different a and b isomers; while for all different c isomers it contributes to four frontier orbitals. Finally, the metal does not contribute to the H–1, H, L and L+1 orbitals.

Table 6. Excitation energies, \( \Delta E_i (\text{eV}) \), absorption selected peaks (lowest-energy Q and major soret peaks), \( \lambda (\text{nm}) \), oscillator strengths, \( f \), main excitations and their coefficient contributing to the excited state of the 1a, 1b, 1aM, 1bM, 1aMTMF, and 1bMTMF species, where M = Li, Na, and K in toluene solvent at the B3LYP, PBE0 and M06-2X /6-31G(d,p) levels of theory.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>B3LYP</th>
<th>PBE0</th>
<th>M06-2X</th>
<th>Exp[1]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( \Delta E_i )</td>
<td>( \lambda )</td>
<td>( f )</td>
<td>( \Delta E_i )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1a</td>
<td>1.93</td>
<td>644</td>
<td>0.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1b</td>
<td>2.97</td>
<td>417</td>
<td>1.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1aLI</td>
<td>1.98</td>
<td>625</td>
<td>0.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1bLI</td>
<td>1.89</td>
<td>429</td>
<td>1.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1bLiTHF_1</td>
<td>1.67</td>
<td>744</td>
<td>0.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1bLiTHF_2</td>
<td>2.83</td>
<td>438</td>
<td>1.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1bNaTHF_1</td>
<td>1.62</td>
<td>765</td>
<td>0.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1bNa-1</td>
<td>2.81</td>
<td>442</td>
<td>1.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1bNaTHF_1</td>
<td>1.62</td>
<td>767</td>
<td>0.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1bNa-1</td>
<td>2.81</td>
<td>442</td>
<td>1.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1aNa-1</td>
<td>1.97</td>
<td>631</td>
<td>0.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1aNa</td>
<td>2.87</td>
<td>431</td>
<td>1.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1bNa</td>
<td>1.60</td>
<td>775</td>
<td>0.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1aNa-1THF_1</td>
<td>2.79</td>
<td>444</td>
<td>1.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1aNa-2THF_1</td>
<td>2.87</td>
<td>432</td>
<td>1.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1aNa-2THF_2</td>
<td>1.94</td>
<td>640</td>
<td>0.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1bNaTHF_1</td>
<td>1.92</td>
<td>645</td>
<td>0.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1bNa</td>
<td>2.89</td>
<td>429</td>
<td>1.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1aK</td>
<td>1.58</td>
<td>787</td>
<td>0.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1aK</td>
<td>2.77</td>
<td>448</td>
<td>0.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1aK-1THF_1</td>
<td>2.86</td>
<td>433</td>
<td>1.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1aK</td>
<td>1.91</td>
<td>649</td>
<td>0.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1aK-2THF_1</td>
<td>2.89</td>
<td>430</td>
<td>1.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1bKTHF_1</td>
<td>1.57</td>
<td>790</td>
<td>0.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1bK</td>
<td>2.81</td>
<td>442</td>
<td>0.82</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\[ \text{a The B3LYP and M06-2X data are from Ref. [7].} \]
\[ \text{b Ref. [6].} \]
4. Conclusions

The present work is the first study on sodium and potassium complexes of N-confused tetraphenylporphyrins (NCTPP) employing the theoretical methods DFT and TD-DFT and the B3LYP, PBE0 and M06-2X functionals in conjunction with the 6-31G(d,p) basis set. Additional calculations on lithium complexes were also carried out. The purpose of the present study is to determine the stability, binding, absorption spectra and reaction energy of the formation as well as the differences between the complexes of NCTPP with lithium, sodium and potassium. A summary of our main results follows.

The global minimum and the relative ordering of the stable minima of the M-NCTPP change for the different M, where M = Li, Na and K. The global minimum energy structures of sodium and potassium complexes of NCTPP result from different tautomers of the porphyrin, 1b and 1a. The THF and the toluene solvent affect the energy ordering of different low-lying minimum energy structures.

All functionals predict similar geometries. The distance between the M atom and the plane of the three inner N atoms ranges from 0.0 to 0.7 Å for the 1nLi minima, while the corresponding distances increase for the 1nNa and 1nK minima, which range from 0.9 to 1.2 and from 1.6 to 1.8 Å, respectively.

The insertion of Li, Na and K into N-confused porphyrin, in the presence of THF is exothermic with a reaction energy calculated to be −68(−55), −56(−45) and −50(−43) kcal/mol in the gas phase (in toluene solvent), and using the corresponding metal bis-(trimethylsilyl)amide reagent, respectively. It appears that even though the toluene solvent stabilizes the heterolytic route substantially, the metal insertion is more likely to occur via the homolytic route rather than the heterolytic route for all three metals.

Fig. 8. Plots of the M06-2X frontier orbitals for the 1aNa, 1bNa, and 1cNa species.
The strength of the M–N bond decreases in going from the Li to the K complexes, both with respect to the homolysis and the heterolytic cleavage of the M–N bond. The heterolytic cleavage of the bond strength in toluene is the most likely route for the separation of the M–N bond with reaction enthalpies (at 1 atm and 298.15 K) of 80.3, 68.7, and 59.4 kcal/mol for the Li-NCTPP, Na-NCTPP, and K-NCTPP, respectively.

All three functionals predict the same general shape of the UV–vis spectra for the same minimum. The TD-PBEO and the TD-B3LYP methods are considered as good choices for the calculation of the absorption spectra. The absorption spectra are similar for the different metals and small red shifts are observed for the same minima structure as the metal changes from Li to K for the Q and Soret bands. Peak positions in toluene solvent are red shifted up to 22 nm with respect to the corresponding spectra in the gas phase.

The different results obtained for the three metals derive from the fact that N-M distance is increased from Li to K. While Li is small enough to fit in the porphyrin core, Na and K form more elongated N–M bonds, hence they do not fit in the core and are located above it. Additionally, the M–N bonds are energetically weaker than the Li–N bond. The fact that Na and K are above the porphyrin core causes the metal atom to be effectively sequestered and be in fact exposed and thus accessible for donation.

The present work provides information for the potential use of alkali metal NCP as synthetic reagents like the alkali metal normal porphyrins do. It seems that K-NCTPP is the best reagent among the three calculated here.

Appendix A. Supplementary material

Supplementary data associated with this article can be found, in the online version, at http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.comptc.2013.07.014.
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